Stephen Deuters

Proffer Exhibit A

Depp v. Heard

CL-2019-0002911





Transcript of Stephen Deuters

Date: February 24, 2022 Case: Depp, II -v- Heard

Planet Depos

Phone: 888.433.3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

VIRGINIA:	1 APPEARANCES
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR FAIRFAX COUNTY	
THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR FAIRFAX COURT?	ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF AND STEPHEN DEUTERS
x	3 (Appearing via videoconference)
JOHN C. DEPP, II :	4 CAMILLE VASQUEZ, ESQUIRE
Plaintiff, :	5 BENJAMIN G. CHEW, ESQUIRE
v. : Civil Action No.	6 YARELYN MENA, ESQUIRE
AMBER LAURA HEARD, : C1-2019-0002911	7 BROWN RUDNICK LLP
Defendant. :	8 2211 Michelson Drive, 7th Floor
0x	9 Irvine, California 92612
1	10 (949) 440-0240
2	11 cvasquez@brownrudnick.com
3 Videotaped Videoconference Deposition of	12 bchew@brownrudnick.com
4 STEPHEN DEUTERS	13 ymena@brownrudnick.com
5 Conducted Virtually	14 ////
6 Thursday, February 24, 2022	15 /////
7 12:30 p.m.	
8	16 ////
9	17 /////
0 Job No.: 433440	18 /////
1 Pages: 1 - 237	19 /////
2 Reported By: Scott D. Gregg, RPR	20 /////
	21 /////
	22 /////
	4
Deposition of STEPHEN DEUTERS, held at the	1 APPEARANCES CONTINUED
offices of:	2 ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT:
	3 (Appearing via videoconference)
	4 J. BENJAMIN ROTTENBORN, ESQUIRE
All Parties Participated Via	5 KAREN M. STEMLAND, ESQUIRE
Videoconference	6 WOODS ROGERS PLC
	7 123 East Main Street, 5th Floor
	8 Charlottesville, Virginia 22902
A	9 (434) 220-6826
Durguant to notice before Scott D. Gregg. BDD	10 brottenborn@woodsrogers.com
1 Pursuant to notice, before Scott D. Gregg, RPR, 2 Notary Public in and for the City of Norfolk.	11 kstemland@woodsrogers.com
3	12
4	13 ALSO PRESENT:
6	, 51
	15 Catherine Gonzalez, Technician Specialist
8	16
9	17
2	18
	19
2	20
	21
	22

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

1	CONTENTS			1 one in the video deposition of Stephen Deuters, in
2	EXAMINATION OF STEPHEN DE	UTERS	PAGE	2 the matter of John C. Depp, II vs. Amber Laura
3	By Mr. Rottenborn 10			3 Heard, in the Fairfax County Circuit Court of
1				4 Virginia, Case No. CL-2019-0002911.
5	EXHIBITS			Today's date is February 24th, 2022. Time
5	DEUTERS DEPOSITION EXHIBIT		PAGE	6 on the video monitor is 12:31 p.m. Eastern.
7	Exhibit 1 UK Trial, Day 5	20		7 The videographer is Drew Halton,
3	Exhibit 2 Text Messages	49		8 representing Planet Depos.
	Exhibit 3 Text Messages	56		9 All participants are attending remotely.
0	Exhibit 4 Text Messages	59		10 Would counsel, please, voice identify
11	Exhibit 5 Text Messages	65		11 themselves and state whom they represent.
12	Exhibit 6 5/10/2014 E-Mail	71		12 MR. ROTTENBORN: This is Ben Rottenborn
3	Exhibit 7 Text Messages	77		13 here today with my colleague Karen Stemland, on
14	Exhibit 8 Text Messages	81		14 behalf of Amber Heard.
15	Exhibit 9 Text Messages	85		15 MS. VASQUEZ: Good morning. Camille
16	Exhibit 10 Audio	109		16 Vasquez, of Brown Rudnick, with my colleagues
17	Exhibit 11 Text Messages	124		17 Benjamin Chew and Yarelyn Mena, representing both
18	Exhibit 12 5/27/2014 E-Mail	147		18 Mr. Depp and the deponent, Stephen Deuters.
19	Exhibit 13 Text Messages	154		19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter is
20	Exhibit 14 Text Messages	156		20 Scott Gregg, representing Planet Depos.
21	Exhibit 15 TMZ Article	159		21 Would the reporter, please, swear in the
22	Exhibit 16 Text Messages	172		22 witness.
			6	8
1	EXHIBITS CONTINU			1 THE COURT REPORTER: Will counsel, please
2	DEUTERS DEPOSITION EXHIBIT		PAGE	2 stipulate that in lieu of formally swearing in the
3	Exhibit 17 Text Messages	176		3 witness, the reporter will instead ask the witness
4	Exhibit 18 Text Messages	190		4 to acknowledge that their testimony will be true
5	Exhibit 19 Text Messages	197		5 under the penalties of perjury, that counsel will
5	Exhibit 20 Text Messages	201		6 not object to the admissibility of the transcript
7	Exhibit 21 Text Messages	203		7 based on proceeding in this way, and that the
3	Exhibit 22 Text Messages	204		8 witness has verified that he is, in fact, Stephen
9	Exhibit 23 Text Messages	206		9 Deuters?
10	Exhibit 24 Text Messages	207		10 MR. ROTTENBORN: Stipulated.
1	Exhibit 25 Text Messages	208		MS. VASQUEZ: So stipulated.
2	Exhibit 26 Text Messages	211		12 THE COURT REPORTER: And Mr. Deuters?
3	Exhibit 27 12/4/2017 E-Mail	220		THE COUNT PERCENTIFIC PROPERTY OF THE COUNTY PERCENTY PER
4	Exhibit 28 Text Messages	226		14 THE COURT REPORTER: Do you confirm?
.5	Exhibit 29 Text Messages	229		15 THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	Exhibit 30 Text Messages	231		16 STEPHEN DEUTERS, called as a witness,
7				17 having been first duly sworn, was examined and
8				18 testified as follows:
9				19 EXAMINATION
20				20 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
21	PROCEEDINGS			21 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Deuters.
.2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here	begins disl	k number	22 A Good afternoon.

Conducted on	February 24, 2022
Q Again, my name is Ben Rottenborn. I'm here on behalf of Amber Heard, and we appreciate your attendance today. Are there — have you ever been deposed before? A I have not, no. Q Are you in agreement that your testimony	11 statement, that's the witness statement from the 2 UK trial? 3 A Correct. 4 Q And today, if we, you or I, or Ms. Vasquez 5 uses the term "UK trial" or "UK litigation" or 6 something similar, are we all — do you agree that 7 we're referring to the case that Mr. Depp brought
8 today is on the record and under oath? 9 A I understand, yes. 10 Q And you are physically located in England 11 at the moment? 12 A Correct. 13 Q Is that where you live?	8 against The Sun in the UK in which you testified? 9 A Yes, correct. 10 Q And you testified on behalf of Mr. Depp in 11 that case, correct? 12 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for a legal 13 conclusion.
 14 A Yes. 15 Q Where do you I don't want your street 16 address or anything, but what city in England do 17 you live in? 18 A London. 19 Q Is there any condition that you're under 20 that would keep you from testifying fully and 21 truthfully today? 	 14 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 15 Q You can answer today unless your counsel 16 instructs you not to answer. 17 A I'm sorry. Can you, please, repeat the 18 question back? 19 Q Sure. You testified on behalf of Mr. Depp 20 in the UK litigation, right? 21 A Yes.
22 A No.	22 Q And Mr Ms. Vasquez is your counsel for
Q Now, I notice I don't want to be presumptuous, but was that is that wine that you're drinking next to you? A It's Coca-Cola Zero. Q Okay. All right. I saw it in a wine glass; I just wanted to make sure. So you're not taking any medications or under the influence of anything that would prevent your full testimony today, correct?	the purposes of today's deposition as well? A Yes. MR. ROTTENBORN: All right. Move to ask leading questions to the witness. BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q What else did you review other than your witness statement and your UK testimony? A I didn't review anything else. I had a brief conversation with Camille yesterday, and
10 A Correct. 11 Q What did you do to prepare for today's 12 deposition? And when I ask you that, I'm sure 13 Camille will give you an instruction, but I want 14 to be clear as well, I'm not asking for anything 15 specifically that you discussed with any of your 16 attorneys, just to be clear. And I'm not asking 17 for that at any point today. 18 So with that disclaimer, what did you do	 10 that's about it. 11 Q Okay. Have you spoken with anyone else 12 about the substance of your deposition in this 13 case other than your attorneys? 14 A No. 15 Q Have you spoken with Adam Waldman about 16 it? 17 A No. 18 Q When was the last time you spoke with
 19 to prepare for your deposition? 20 A Sure. I read my witness statement and I 21 read my transcript from the UK trial. 	19 Mr. Waldman?20 A I'd have to say it was a while ago. I21 generally don't know. It wasn't this year.

Q And when you refer to your witness

22 Sometime last year, I suspect. Sometime the

13

second half of last year perhaps, but I genuinely
 can't recall the last specific time.

- Q And he's not your attorney for the
- 4 purposes of your involvement in this case,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A Correct, he is not.
- 7 Q Have you talked with Mr. Depp about your
- 8 deposition?
- 9 A Other than the fact that I was it was 10 occurring, that was it, that was the only way in 11 which it was broached.
- 12 Q When did you speak with Mr. Depp about 13 this deposition occurring?
- 14 A Probably about 14 hours ago when I saw him 15 last.
- 16 Q You saw him in person?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Okay. Was that in the United Kingdom?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q How often do you speak with Mr. Depp now?
- 21 Would you say on a daily basis?
- 22 A With regard to my work, near enough. It

1

- 1 depends. It's not it's not you know, it's
- 2 not the same, it's not consistent. We'll go
- 3 through periods, but other periods I might not
- 4 hear or speak to him for a couple of weeks, even a month.
- 6 Q So you're employed by Mr. Depp or one of
- 7 his companies currently, right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q What is your current position?
- 10 A I currently head up basically it's sort 11 of a sister company, if you will, of his American 12 company based here in the UK.
- 13 Q Is that -- what's the name of that sister 14 company?
- 15 A I'm sorry? It went a bit fuzzy there.
- 16 Q Sure. Yeah, please let me know if I cut 17 out or anything.
- 18 What is the name of the sister company?
- 19 A All right. IN.2 Film.
- 20 Q Is that is that the European affiliate
- 21 of his production company, Infinitum Nihil?
- 22 A Correct, UK, slash, European, correct.

- Q And you're the president of that entity,
- 2 correct?
- A Essentially Johnny's president. I'm sort
- 4 of like the day-to-day CEO, if you will.
 - Q Can you just walk me through your
- 6 employment history with Mr. Depp. And I'm sure
- 7 I'll have more specific questions, but just kind
- 8 of when you started working for him, what
- 9 positions you held, you know, from the start until 10 now?
- 11 A Yeah, sure. I think I well, yeah, mid
- 12 June, I think, 2004 I started working with
- 13 Mr. Depp. And it's been a pretty consistent run
- 14 since then. My first work with him was appearing
- 15 in an assistant capacity which lasted for some
- 16 time. And then as jobs naturally do, they expand
- 17 and one gets promoted and such and such, and now
- 18 I'm, yeah, heading up the UK branch of his
- 19 company.
- Q What -- other than being his personal
- 21 assistant and heading up the UK branch of his
- 22 production company, what other positions have you

1 held for Mr. Depp or one of his companies, if any?

- 2 A Yeah, I don't think there's really any
- others I can sort of say, you know, concretely. I
- think they are really the two really, I suppose.
- Q How old are you now?
- A Forty-three.
- 7 Q So you were approximately 25 when you
- 8 first started working for Mr. Depp?
- 9 A That's correct.
- 10 Q How did you come to first be employed by
- 11 Mr. Depp?
- 12 A I was I was in the business, so to
- 13 speak, and I was working with a producer, and a
- 14 window of opportunity arose -
- 15 Q Sorry.
- 16 A A window of opportunity arose to work with
- 17 Mr. Depp; he needed a local assistant. I think
- 18 his previous assistant just finished working with
- 19 him, so he was there was a window of
- 20 opportunity there that I was offered, and the rest
- 21 is history, I guess.
- 22 Q Sorry. I accidentally knocked my speaker

Conducted on F	ebruary 24, 2022
17	19
1 over, so I just had to pick that up.	1 years, it, you know, naturally ventures into that
A No worries.	2 category. 3 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
Q Now, so you've been with — working for	
4 Mr. Depp approximately 18 years; is that right?	4 Q And you're regarded almost as family to
5 A Yeah, that seems right, yep.	5 Mr. Depp, right?
Q And has he been the sole or your	6 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague and
7 employment with Mr. Depp been the sole source of	7 ambiguous, assumes facts.
8 income salary-wise for you over those years?	8 THE WITNESS: I don't think I could assume
9 A Yes.	9 that. I think he would have to say that. We're
10 Q It's been the only job that you've had for	10 friends.
11 the last 18 or so years, correct?	11 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
12 A Yes, correct.	12 Q You're friends. Do you recall giving
13 Q At some point you resided in California,	13 testimony in the United Kingdom in the case
14 correct?	14 that you UK litigation, yes?
15 A Yes. Well, I wouldn't say resided. I	15 A Certainly, yeah.
16 had, you know, Visas, work Visas to work there,	16 Q And in that testimony that you gave, you
17 but I wasn't living there. I worked there for a	17 were under oath to tell the truth, correct?
18 period of time.	18 A Absolutely, yes.
19 Q How long did you live in California or	19 Q And you were asked questions by The Sun's
20 work in California?	20 attorney under cross-examination, correct?
21 A I'd have to sort of go back through it a	21 A Sorry, Ben. You went fuzzy just the top
22 little bit. I probably would be off the top of my	22 of that question.
18	20'
1 head, anywhere between – I mean, no less than	Q You were asked questions under
2 18 months, maybe every two years if you were to –	
3 not in one go, you understand, like, you know,	3 case, correct?
4 various different productions; and if you were to	4 A Yes, correct.
5 compile them all, I would say, yeah, somewhere	5 MR. ROTTENBORN: Catherine I'm sorry
6 between 18 months to two years probably is	6 Catherine, are you the one pulling up the
7 realistic.	7 exhibits?
8 Q During the time that Ms. Heard and	8 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Yes, Counsel.
9 Mr. Depp were involved in a relationship, were you	9 MR. ROTTENBORN: Could you pull up the
10 primarily based in California?	10 document entitled UK trial, day five, Deuters
11 A During that period, I was – yeah, I mean	11 testimony.
12 I would say it was probably half and half. I	12 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Yes, sir.
13 wouldn't say primarily. Half and half is probably	13 Please standby.
14 a fair assumption.	MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you.
15 Q Half in California and half in England?	THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Exhibit 1.
16 A Correct, yeah.	16 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you. And can you
17 Q Now, you're more than an employee to	17 go to page 737, please.
18 Mr. Depp; you're also a friend, right?	18 And I'll mark this and move it in as
19 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts,	19 Exhibit 1.
20 vague.	20 (Exhibit No. 1 was marked for
21 THE WITNESS: I would say, you know, when	21 identification.)
22 you spend a lot of time with a person over the	22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

Transcript of Stephen Deuters Conducted on February 24, 2022

1 Q Mr. Deuters, do you see that this is a	1 is, it's been sort of a plan to put together a UK
2 transcript of the testimony that you gave and the	2 company since actually 2007. So we've really been
3 questions that you were asked in the UK	3 discussing it since then, so that's yeah,
4 litigation?	4 that's the truth of the matter, and I was always
5 A Yes.	5 sort of going to lead it from there.
6 Q And do you see on page 737, line 15,	6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Catherine, can you,
7 you're asked a question: Do you agree that you	7 please, pull up the same exhibit.
8 were more than an employee, you were a friend?	8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
9 Answer: Yes, I suppose so.	9 Q And, Mr. Deuters, on page 737 there, isn't
10 Question: And regarded almost as family?	10 it true that under oath in the UK you were asked
11 Answer: Yes.	11 the question at line 20, on page 737, and your
12 Did I read that right?	12 loyalty to him has been rewarded because you are
13 A Yes.	13 now the European president of his production
14 Q Now, you're	14 company?
15 MR. ROTTENBORN: You can take that off,	15 And your answer was, right, yes.
16 please.	16 Did I read that correctly?
17 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	17 A Yes.
18 Q You'd consider yourself loyal to Mr. Depp,	18 Q You're financially dependent on Mr. Depp
19 correct?	19 for your income, correct?
20 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague.	20 A Yes.
21 THE WITNESS: You know, as a friend would	21 Q And you're dependent on the Johnny Depp
22 to a friend, yes, absolutely.	22 brand for your future income, right?
22	24
1 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	1 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, ambiguous.
2 Q And your loyalty to him has been rewarded	THE WITNESS: I'm not quite sure what you
3 because you're now the European president of his	3 mean by that. Could you rephrase the question
4 production company, yes?	4 perhaps?
5 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts	5 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
6 I'm sorry, Mr. Deuters. At certain points I may	6 Q Just answer as best you can.
7 be objecting, so if you could just give me a	7 You're dependent on the Johnny Depp brand
8 couple seconds to state my objections, I'm sure	8 for your future income, correct?
9 the court reporter, Scott, would very much	9 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, amliguous.
10 appreciate that.	10 THE WITNESS: I'm not really sure how to
11 THE WITNESS: Sorry.	11 answer that.
12 MS. VASQUEZ: It's okay.	12 MS. VASQUEZ: Mr. Deuters, if you don't
13 Objection; vague, ambiguous, assumes	13 understand the question
14 facts, lack of foundation.	14 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
15 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	MR. ROTTENBORN: No coaching the witness,
16 Q Let me ask it again, Mr. Deuters.	16 Camille.
17 My question was, your loyalty to Mr. Depp	17 MS. VASQUEZ: Okay. How about ask
18 has been rewarded because you're now the European	18 questions that are intelligible then.
19 president of his production company, correct?	19 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
20 MS. VASQUEZ: Also calls for speculation.	20 Q You're dependent on the Johnny Depp brand
21 THE WITNESS: I would say, you know, not	21 for your future income, correct?
DO N. I. O. A. W. T.	MC VACOLIET, Come chication November

22 quite in that way really because the truth of it

MS. VASQUEZ: Same objection Now we're

Conducted on February 24, 2022 1 getting argumentative, asked and answered. 1 president of the production company, correct? THE WITNESS: I mean, the truth is not A Correct, I wasn't the European president, 3 necessarily. I mean, I suppose you could say 3 no. Yes, so personal assistant, yes. I was very that, but not exclusively. 4 involved with the company at that time, so it MR. ROTTENBORN: Catherine, can you, 5 wasn't sort of a sudden giant leap; it was more of 6 please, scroll down to page 738. 6 just a natural progression. 7 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q Okay. And I want to get to your job duties in a second, but, first, your wife also is O And in your testimony in the UK, 9 Mr. Deuters, on line seven you were asked the 9 employed by Johnny or one of his companies, right? 10 question, you are dependent on the Johnny Depp MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts. 10 11 brand for your future income? Mr. Deuters, just a reminder, I'm going to 11 12 be objecting, so it would be helpful if you'll And on line nine you answered, yes. 12 13 Did I read that correctly? 13 just give me a couple seconds to do so. 14 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 14 A Yes. O Now, if Mr. Depp or the brand is -- if O You can answer. 16 Mr. Depp or his brand is damaged professionally, MS. VASOUEZ: I believe he did. 17 then you, in turn, are damaged financially, THE WITNESS: She's not employed, no. 17 18 correct? 18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 19 O Does she receive any compensation from A Yes. 20 Mr. Depp or any of his companies? O Losing the trial in the UK was damaging MS. VASOUEZ: Objection; vague. 21 for Johnny Depp and his brand, correct? 21 22 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection, Mr. Deuters 22 THE WITNESS: It went fuzzy. I heard does 26 28 1 [sic]. Calls for speculation, assumes facts, lack 1 she something receive. 2 of foundation, argumentative. 2 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: THE WITNESS: I mean, yeah. I mean, I Q Does she receive any pay or compensation 4 don't -- yeah, I mean, I would have to agree, yes. from Mr. Depp or any of his companies? 5 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague. THE WITNESS: No. O Let's talk about generally -- well, let me 6 7 ask you this: When did you become the European MR. ROTTENBORN: And, Catherine, you can 8 president of the production company? take down the exhibit, please. A Without double-checking, I'm actually not 9 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: O Has she ever? 10 sure of the official date. 10 Q Was it sometime since 2019, let's say? 11 A No. Q Does she run any of Mr. Depp's social 12 A In a way - I would actually say it was 12 13 probably at least summer of 2017 was when it was 13 media pages? 14 probably sort of first put into motion, I would MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 15 speculation, assumes facts, vague. 15 say. 16 Q And you'd agree that Johnny and Amber THE WITNESS: She assists with his 16 17 split up in May of 2016, correct? 17 Instagram.

PLANET DEPOS

18 A Yes.

Q So during the time that he was in a

21 Johnny was his -- was to -- was as a personal 22 assistant for Johnny, not as the European

20 relationship with Amber, your job as it relates to

18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

20 Mr. Depp or on his behalf?

22 calls for speculation.

19 Q Does she make posts on Instagram for

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, ambiguous,

Transcript of Stephen Deuters Conducted on February 24, 2022

THE WITNESS: She has made posts, yes, but	1 Q When you were a personal assistant for
2 not exclusively. But, yes, she has made posts.3 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	2 Mr. Depp, what were your, just generally speaking, 3 job duties?
4 Q And does she Mr. Depp has given her the	4 A Coordinating schedule would be a big one,
5 authority to make those posts that she makes on	5 and that would involve organizing travel,
6 his Instagram account?	6 coordinating transport, liaising with the films,
7 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for	7 liaising with the coordinators of the films, the
8 speculation, calls for a legal conclusion, assumes	8 producers of the films, relaying messages, you
9 facts, lack of foundation, and hearsay.	9 know, taking him to set, getting him home, things
10 THE WITNESS: I actually don't know the	10 like this. Pretty standard, I suppose, assistant
11 particulars in which they work on that front, so I	11 stuff really. Nothing out of the ordinary.
	12 Q Was and one of your job
12 couldn't say exactly how it goes. 13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	13 responsibilities that you had while you were a
14 Q Have you ever developed an understanding	14 personal assistant to Mr. Depp was obtaining drugs
15 that she makes posts without the authority of	15 or alcohol for him, correct?
16 Mr. Depp to make those posts?	MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; compound, vague,
MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for	17 assumes facts, lack of foundation.
18 speculation, assumes facts, calls for hearsay.	18 THE WITNESS: It's occurred on a very rare
19 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Ben. I'm going	19 occurrence.
20 to have to ask you to repeat that.	20 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	21 Q Mr. Depp would ask you to to get drugs
22 Q Have you ever developed an understanding	22 for him, right?
30	32
1 that she doesn't have the authority to make	1 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection I'm sorry, I'm
2 Instagram posts on behalf of Johnny Depp?	2 sorry, Ben.
3 A That she doesn't	3 MR. ROTTENBORN: I'm done. Go ahead,
MS. VASQUEZ: Same objections.	4 Camille.
5 THE WITNESS: That she doesn't have the	MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague and
6 authority? No again, yeah, it's the same	6 ambiguous, calls for hearsay, assumes facts.
7 answer to the previous question, I don't quite	7 THE WITNESS: "Drugs" is quite a wide
8 I don't know exactly what the agreement is between	8 term.
9 them. I just know that she does has assisted	9 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
10 him in the past.	10 Q On occasion, you would procure illegal or
11 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	11 controlled drugs for Mr. Depp, correct?
12 Q But she doesn't get paid for that, you	12 A Again
13 said?	MS. VASQUEZ: Same objections.
MS. VASQUEZ: Asked and answered.	14 THE WITNESS: same answer, a very rare
15 THE WITNESS: You froze then. Sorry.	15 occurrence. And also you need to be specific with
16 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	16 regards to your what you're asking. "Drugs" is
17 Q She doesn't get paid for doing making	17 a wide term.
18 those posts, correct?	18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
MS. VASQUEZ: Asked and answered.	19 Q And when you did that, you did so knowing
20 THE WITNESS: Correct, she does not get	20 that the supply or being involved in the supply of
	101 4 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

22

21 paid.

22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

21 controlled drugs was against the law, correct?

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts.

36

33

THE WITNESS: I'm aware that certain drugs are against the law, yes.

3 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

- 4 Q And nevertheless, you -- on at least some 5 occasions, you assisted Mr. Depp in procuring for 6 him drugs that you knew were against the law,
- 7 correct?
- 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague and 9 ambiguous, assumes facts.
- 10 THE WITNESS: I think it's important to 11 clarify when you say "drugs," Ben. Sorry, I think 12 it's important to clarify.

13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

- 14 Q Sure. Understood.
- 15 So you knew that Mr. Depp used cocaine, 16 right?
- 17 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 18 speculation, assumes facts, and vague and 19 ambiguous as to time.
- THE WITNESS: I was aware -- I was aware 21 that it had been used. Again, a rare occurrence
- 22 as far as I was aware.

- 1 do not I do not recall. If it ever occurred,
- 2 it was in the UK. It was never in the US and it
- 3 was never in Australia. But I do not recall. I
- 4 certainly don't recall Ecstasy.
- MR. ROTTENBORN: Catherine, can you pull up the Exhibit 1, please, and if you can go to
- 7 page 746, please.
- 8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
- 9 Q And, Mr. Deuters, isn't it -- if you look 10 at lines four through 12, I'm going to read you
- 11 two questions and two answers that you gave in the 12 UK, and I'm going to ask you if I read that right.
- 13 Line four, question: You have explained
- 14 cannabis, but whitey, or cocaine, was something
- 15 that Mr. Depp did ask you to become involved with.
- 16 Do you agree or not?
- 17 Answer: Sorry, I do not really --
- 18 Question: Involved in supplying. He
- 19 wanted to get ahold of some cocaine, and you would
- 20 be involved in the supply chain, making sure he 21 got it?
- 22 Answer: Again, I suppose I go back to my

34

1 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

- 2 Q You helped procure cocaine for Mr. Depp's
- 3 use on at least some occasions, correct?
- 4 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague and
- 5 ambiguous, assumes facts.
- 6 THE WITNESS: No.
- 7 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
- 8 Q Never?
- 9 MS. VASQUEZ: Asked and answered.
- 10 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't procure
- 11 cocaine.

12 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

- 13 Q You helped Mr. Depp procure Ecstasy on at 14 least some occasions, correct?
- MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I did not procure Ecstasy on 17 behalf of Mr. Depp.
- 18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
- 19 Q And you testified -- just to be sure -- 20 and I know I've asked this, but you testified that
- 21 you never helped Mr. Depp obtain cocaine?
- 22 A To the genuinely best of my knowledge, I

- 1 first answer -- on very rare occasions.
- Did I read that right?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q So you'd agree that you did on at least
- 5 rare occasions assist Mr. Depp in obtaining
- 6 cocaine?
- MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates prior
- 8 testimony, assumes facts, hearsay, asked and
- 9 answered.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Again, supply chain...
- 11 I'm sorry. Is there a question?

12 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

- 13 Q Yeah. My question was on at least some 14 occasions you did assist Mr. Depp in obtaining 15 cocaine, correct?
- 16 A Again, I don't --
- 17 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Sorry, sorry.
- 19 I don't recall. If it ever did happen, it
- 20 was in the UK. I never purchased it. But supply
- 21 chain, I don't know. Not quite sure.
- 22 MR. ROTTENBORN: Catherine, you can take

1 that down for the moment. 1 10, on page 752 under oath in the United Kingdom 2 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 2 you were asked the question: He had a problem Q You assisted Mr. Depp in obtaining with opiates? 4 Klonopin, right? And your answer was, yes, opiates is what MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts, 5 I recall at the time, yes. 6 vague. Did I read that right? THE WITNESS: I don't really know what 7 A I'm not seeing it on here, sorry. 8 Klonopin is. I think that's a prescribed drug Q Page 752, line 10. 9 that I believe he had from his doctor, but I 9 A Oh, yeah. 10 wasn't involved. 10 Q Question: He had a problem with opiates? 11 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Answer: Yes, opiates is what I recall at 11 12 O And Mr. Depo -- you became aware of 12 the time, yes? 13 Mr. Depp abusing drugs that had been prescribed to A Well, I'm just sort of saving opiates is 14 him and using them in excess of the quantities 14 what I recall being taken at the time, I suppose. 15 they had been prescribed, correct? 15 As to whether it was a problem, again it's not MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 16 really for me to say. 17 speculation, assumes facts, lack of foundation, Q But I read that right, correct? 18 calls for a medical opinion, calls for hearsay. 18 A Yes. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I can't really comment Q You also - you knew that Mr. Depp used 20 because -- in terms of abusing, I mean, I'm not --20 Ecstasy from time to time, right? 21 yeah, I'm not a doctor. I mean, I don't know. In 21 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, calls for 22 terms of using, I wouldn't know how to put it on 22 speculation. 40 1 the scale, I suppose. I don't -- I can't really THE WITNESS: I'm not -- I mean, I was 2 answer that in all honesty. 2 aware that it had been occasionally around. 3 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 3 Again, very rare occurrence. I don't know if I Q Well, Mr. Deuters, I mean, it's no state 4 ever saw it. I can't recall at this point. 5 secret here Mr. Depp abused prescription drugs. 5 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 6 correct? Q In fact, you supplied Ecstasy to him from MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague and 7 time to time, correct? 8 ambiguous, calls for speculation, calls for MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; asked and 9 opinion -- expert opinions. 9 answered, assumes facts, lack of foundation, THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't think it's my 10 argumentative. 11 position to say if he did or not. THE WITNESS: I don't ever recall 12 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 12 supplying Ecstasy, no. 13 Q Johnny had a problem with opiates, right? 13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 14 MS. VASQUEZ: Same objections. Q You supplied marijuana or cannabis THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not sure about 15 products to him quite regularly, correct? 15 16 the word "problem." I know that opiates were part MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague and 17 of a regime for a period. 17 ambiguous, calls for speculation, assumes facts.

PLANET DEPOS

20 outside the UK.

21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

MR. ROTTENBORN: Catherine, can you pull

19 up that exhibit again, please, and go down to

O Mr. Deuters, isn't it true that on line

20 page 752, please.

21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

THE WITNESS: I do recall marijuana, yes,

19 predominantly in the UK. I don't recall anywhere

Q Mr. Depp used marijuana quite regularly,

correct? A Sorry, Ben. You're going to have to 1 2 repeat the question. MS. VASOUEZ: Objection; vague, calls for 3 speculation. Q You weren't obtaining marijuana for 4 Mr. Depp in the UK from any sort of legal THE WITNESS: He never touched it in the dispensary or pharmacy, right? 5 first eight or nine years I was with him. It was MS. VASQUEZ: Same objections. 6 only once. The only time -- the first time I saw THE WITNESS: Legal dispensary, no. 7 marijuana was once he was already engaged in this 8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 8 relationship. O And when you supplied controlled drugs to 9 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 10 O So starting in 2012 or so is when you 10 Mr. Depp, you did so knowing that the supplier 11 being involved in the supply of controlled drugs 11 first saw him use marijuana? 12 is against the law, right? MS. VASOUEZ: Objection; calls for 12 13 speculation. MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts, THE WITNESS: Yes, I suppose. I suppose 14 lack of foundation, calls for a legal conclusion, 15 argumentative, asked and answered, misstates prior 15 that would be accurate. 16 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 16 testimony, it's also compound. 17 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 17 O Is marijuana legal in the UK? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for a legal O You can answer. 18 A Yes. 19 conclusion, calls for speculation, vague as to 19 O And you did that because your loyalty was 20 time. 20 21 to Mr. Depp and to make sure that he got what he THE WITNESS: I think -- I actually don't 21 22 wanted, correct? 22 know the answer to that question. I think it is 42 1 in certain -- within certain arenas (phonetic). MS, VASQUEZ: Objection; argumentative, compound, assumes facts, vague and ambiguous. 2 It's not commonly available. 3 3 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: THE WITNESS: I think it's important to O So when you were procuring marijuana for 4 reiterate these were very rare occurrences. MR. ROTTENBORN: Catherine, can you pull 5 Mr. Depp in the UK, it wasn't, to your knowledge, 6 dorie legally or in accordance with any 6 up the exhibit, please, Exhibit 1, and go to 7 prescription that he had that may have allowed him 7 page 740, please. 8 to use it legally in California, correct? 8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; compound, calls Q And, Mr. Deuters, on page 740, line 15 of 10 the transcript of your UK testimony, you were 10 for speculation, asked and answered, assumes 11 facts. 11 asked, you nonetheless did that because your 12 loyalty was to Mr. Depp and to make sure he got THE WITNESS: I don't know what the 12 13 California laws are, so I couldn't talk to that. 13 what he wanted? 14 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: And you answered, yes. Correct? 15 Q You weren't getting marijuana in the UK 15 16 for Mr. Depp from any sort of legal pharmacy or 16 A Yes. 17 anything like that or dispensary that you knew of, MS. VASQUEZ: Objection -- sorry, 18 Mr. Deuters. 18 right? 19 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; compound, assumes Objection; vague, calls for hearsay, 20 improper impeachment. 20 facts, it's vague.

21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

Q You can answer.

21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

22 Q Now, Mr. Depp drinks quite a bit of --

Conducted on F	ebruary 24, 2022
MR. ROTTENBORN: You can take that down, Catherine. Thank you. BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q Mr. Depp drinks quite a bit of alcohol, correct? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague and	Q Go ahead. A Yes. I mean, he can – yeah, he drinks and he maintains himself very well. MR. ROTTENBORN: Catherine, can you, please – BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
7 ambiguous, and calls for speculation. 8 THE WITNESS: Again, yeah, quite a bit. 9 I'm not sure what you mean by "quite a bit." 10 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	Q Well, actually before we go there, you said "he maintains himself very well." You've seen Mr. Depp drunk on a number of locasions, right?
11 Q And I guess I'm specifically referring to 12 the 2011 to 2016 time period when Mr. Depp was 13 with Ms. Heard, okay? 14 A Right, okay. 15 Q He, Mr. Depp, has gone through periods of	11 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 12 speculation, assumes facts, vague and ambiguous. 13 THE WITNESS: I've seen many people drunk 14 on many occasion, nothing particularly out of the 15 ordinary.
16 sobriety during that time frame, correct, or where 17 he tried to be sober, right? 18 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 19 speculation, assumes facts. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, he was certainly sober	16 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 17 Q Including Mr. Depp, right? 18 A But nothing — 19 MS. VASQUEZ: Same objections. 20 THE WITNESS: Nothing out of the ordinary.
21 during periods of that completely, yeah. 22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 46 Q And then there were periods of time when	21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 22 Q Have you ever seen Mr. Depp destroy 48 1 property?
2 he drank excessive amounts of alcohol and was 3 frequently drunk or intoxicated, correct? 4 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 5 speculation.	MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague. THE WITNESS: Destroy property? Like a house? BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
6 THE WITNESS: Again sorry. 7 MS. VASQUEZ: Sorry. And vague. 8 THE WITNESS: Again, excessive, I mean, 9 you know, there's no pretending that he wasn't	6 Q Personal property, have you ever seen him 7 destroy anything? 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Same objections. 9 THE WITNESS: No, not to the best of my 10 knowledge. Destroy, no, that's not a term I'd
10 drinking during that period. But he has a 11 remarkable tolerance, so, you know, whether he was 12 drunk or yeah, I'm not a doctor, so I couldn't 13 tell you. 14 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	
15 Q When you say "remarkable tolerance," do 16 you mean he can drink a significant amount of 17 alcohol without seeming as drunk as you might 18 think he would be?	15 THE WITNESS: At this time, at this 16 minute, I can't recall an instance where I saw a 17 glass break. 18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
19 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 20 speculation, assumes facts, misstates the prior 21 testimony. 22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	19 Q Have you ever seen him break TVs? 20 MS. VASQUEZ: Same objection. 21 THE WITNESS: No. 22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

Conducted on I	February 24, 2022
49	51
1 Q Have you ever seen him slam cupboard doors	1 that these are text messages that you sent or
2 out of anger?	2 received?
MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, ambiguous.	
4 THE WITNESS: No, not in person, no.	4 testimony.
5 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	5 BY MR. ROTTENBORN;
6 Q Mr. Depp's drink of choice is red wine,	6 Q You can answer.
7 correct?	7 A I have no reason to necessarily doubt, no,
8 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for	8 but I don't remember them in particular.
9 speculation, vague.	9 Q And would you agree that the entries that
10 THE WITNESS: He likes red I mean, yes,	10 say "him" next to them, that's Johnny Depp's
11 red wine is a drink that he would drink, yes.	11 number, right?
MR. ROTTENBORN: Catherine, can you pull	12 A Yep.
13 up the exhibit titled Depp 11242, please.	13 Q And Mr. Depp also communicated through an
14 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Standby.	14 e-mail that you see here on page
15 Exhibit 2.	15 richardtat@aol.com, right?
16 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you.	16 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for
Move for the admission of Exhibit 2 into	17 speculation.
18 evidence.	18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
19 (Exhibit No. 2 was marked for	19 Q You understood that to be one of
20 identification.)	20 Mr. Depp's e-mail addresses?
21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	21 A Yeah.
22 Q Mr. Deuters, we're going to be seeing	22 MS. VASQUEZ: Same objection.
1 a lot of documents that look like this or similar	1 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
2 to this today. And I'll represent to you that	2 Q And same with Chris Dembrowski, did he
3 these are documents from the production in this	3 have an e-mail that he would communicate with that
4 case either from you or from Amber or from Johnny	4 was made it appear that it was coming from
5 that set forth, I believe, text messages between	5 someone name Chris Dembrowski?
6 various individuals.	6 MS. VASQUEZ: Vague and ambiguous, same
7 So with that in mind, is if you look at	7 objection.
8 the two I'm sorry the four entries at the	8 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. I don't
9 bottom of the page, is the number next to where it	9 know that one.
10 says Stephen Deuters is that your number?	10 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
11 A Yeah.	11 Q Do you see the fourth text up from the
12 Q And do you agree that these are text	12 bottom, Mr. Depp you can see in the column it
13 messages that you were either sent or received?	13 says from, Mr. Depp writes, marijuana works.
14 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, ambiguous,	14 Waiting on painkillers.
15 calls for speculation.	15 Do you see that?
16 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	16 A Yep.
17 Q You can answer.	17 Q And that was on November 10th of 2012; do
18 A You know, I'm looking at the date. That	18 you see that?
19 was 2012, so I'd say a long time ago, so I	19 A Yeah.
20 obviously don't have any particular recollection	20 Q Okay. And then the text underneath it
	21 and, again, I'm not sure I'm not suggesting
21 of these precise.	22 that these have come in in sequence or sometimes

Q Sure. Do you have any reason to doubt

22 that these have come in in sequence or sometimes

55 1 there either may have been texts that are not 1 for speculation -- well... 2 between them, so -- but on December 1st of 2012, THE WITNESS: Joel Mandel -- I mean, yeah, 3 you sent a text that says, KLNPN and LXPR updates. 3 I mean, he was -- from what I recall. I mean, it Do you see that? 4 was a while ago now. A Yeah. 5 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q KLNPN means Klonopin, right? Q So this text is you saying that you are MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 7 attempting to obtain drugs of some sort for 8 speculation. 8 Mr. Depp, correct? THE WITNESS: It's possible. MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the 10 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 10 document, misstates prior testimony, assumes Q And Klonopin --11 facts, hearsay. 12 A I genuinely don't recall the text off the 12 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, I'm 13 top of my head, not that I have any reason to 13 speculating on myself here, but I would assume 14 doubt, but... 14 it's collecting any prescriptions from Mandel. Q And does LXPR stand for Lexapro? 15 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 16 MS. VASQUEZ: Same objection. Q And there were times when you obtained 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah, same answer, no reason 17 drugs -- well, actually strike that. 18 to doubt it, but I don't recall it, the specific MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up the next 19 text or writing it, so I couldn't a hundred 19 document, please. It's Depp 11244, please. 20 percent say. And I'll move this in as Exhibit 3. 21 BY MR, ROTTENBORN: MS. VASQUEZ: We've been going for about 21 Q Okay. And the next beneath that from the 22 an hour, so whenever, Ben, you get to a stopping 1 point for like a 10-minute break, I'd appreciate 1 same date, same time, you write, chasing ice DVD, 2 and drugs. 2 that. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: Sure. Five more minutes 3 Do you see that? and we can stop. A Yeah. Q What did you mean by "ice" in that text? THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Exhibit 3. (Exhibit No. 3 was marked for MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 7 speculation, vague. 7 identification.) 8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: THE WITNESS: Probably ice. 9 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q Mr. Deuters, if you take a look at the Q What did you mean by "drugs"? 10 fourth text down -- and if you need this blown up, 10 11 we can do that or the techs --MS. VASQUEZ: Same objections. 11 THE WITNESS: Probably -- probably his --12 A That's good. 12 13 what do you call it, his prescriptions, which Q Okay. Do you see the text from Mr. Depp 14 to you that says, must procure many, many more 14 would be given to me invariably by Joel Mandel 15 because that was just how it -- that was the way 15 from Joel. Need them immediately, three 16 exclamation points, now, three exclamation points? 16 those things were given. 17 Q Joel Mandel obtained all of Johnny's 17 A Yes. Q And then right beneath that, do you see 18 prescriptions for him; is that your testimony? 19 the text that says, it must be acquired, 19 A I believe --20 repackaged as vitamin gel caps and put on a fast 20 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates --21 horse? And then you sent him a text that says, 21 Mr. Deuters, sorry, I need to -- misstates prior

22 resealed, comma, I missed.

22 testimony, assumes facts, calls for hearsay, calls

59 Do you see that? Q Do you recall anyone else doing it? 2 A Yeah. 2 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for Q Do you -- I'm sorry -- not you -- I 3 hearsay, calls for speculation, vague. 4 misspoke. He sends you then another text that THE WITNESS: No. I mean, I would have to 5 said, resealed. I missed. 5 give it a no. I mean, I can't -- I mean, I can Do you see that? see the text in front of me, but the actual act of 6 A Yeah. 7 it, I generally can't, no. MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you pull up, please, Q Okay. Do you recall what it was that 9 Mr. Depp was saying needed to be ring packaged as 9 the exhibit that -- I believe the name is just 10 vitamin gel caps and put on a fast horse? 10 H30.2. MS. VASQUEZ: Objection --THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Please 12 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 12 standby. MR. ROTTENBORN: I believe it's H30.2, 13 Q I assume he wasn't talking about vitamins, 13 14 file seven, Depp vs. NGN is what it is. 14 right? Camille, I think after this exhibit, it's 15 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 16 speculation, assumes facts, hearsay. 16 a good time for a break. THE WITNESS: Again, that was -- what's 17 MS. VASQUEZ: Okay. 18 the date on that? 28th of January 2013. I THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Exhibit 4. 18 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you. 19 genuinely couldn't tell you what -- you'll see the 19 20 name Joel there because -- so that's a 20 (Exhibit No. 4. Was marked for 21 prescription item because that was -- but I 21 identification.) 22 genuinely don't know specifically what he's MR. ROTTENBORN: If you can maybe just 1 referring to. Maybe it was the marijuana gel caps 1 blow up the bottom half of that first page, 2 that Joel got. I'm not... 2 please. 3 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 3 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q Can you see that okay, Mr. Deuters? Q And to the best of your understanding, why 5 would marijuana gel caps need to be repackaged as A Yes. Q Did you ever hear Mr. Depp refer to 6 vitamin gel caps? 7 Ecstasy as happy pills? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, ambiguous, 8 speculation. 9 calls for speculation and hearsay. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Law THE WITNESS: Again, I don't recall any 10 enforcement obviously, but maybe it's a different 11 kind of marijuana. I don't know. I genuinely 11 specific instances. I'm aware that's a generic 12 don't know. I'd be entirely speculating myself. 12 term. 13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 14 Q And were there times when you did, in Q Who is Nathan Holmes? A Nathan Holmes was Johnny's assistant for a 15 fact, repackage a certain marijuana product or 16 other drug as a vitamin gel cap for Mr. Depp at 16 period of time. Q Did you and he both serve in personal 17 his request? 18 assistant roles to Johnny at the same time? 18 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts, A Yes. 19 compound, lack of foundation. Q Do you see, oh, about seven or eight texts THE WITNESS: I don't recall doing it 21 from the bottom of the page, the one whose number 21 myself; no, I don't.

22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

22 on the left-hand side is 80039 ---

	Conducted on I
1 A	Yeah.
	- that says, have you heard from Manson's
3 Ryan?	
-	o you see that?
	Yeah.
	Did Marilyn Manson have a drug dealer
named	Ryan that would at times supply Mr. Depp
	IS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for
	lation, hearsay, assumes facts, lack of
	ation, compound.
	HE WITNESS: Manson there was an
	ant called Ryan. I know I've heard the name
	cted to Manson. But beyond that, I couldn't
5 comm	
	R. ROTTENBORN:
	He was an assistant to Marilyn Manson?
_	IS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for
9 specu	
	HE WITNESS: Again, I believe so, that
	ry understanding, but I don't know if I had
	dealings with him. I don't recall.
	62
	R. ROTTENBORN:
Q	Okay. And if you look at the text
messa	ges and I realize you're not on some of
these,	so but if you read down, there's a text
that sa	ys, have you heard from Manson's Ryan?
From	Johnny.
Α	nd then someone at nathanholmes4@mac
says,	have. He asked if you want anything
	vise for tomorrow night.
	nd then Johnny writes, yes and yes. And,
1 of cou	rse, yes, please. And you will way Ryan for
2 it.	
	nd then says, disappear. We should have
	nappy pills. Can you?
5 A	nd then the nathanholmes4@mac address
6 writes	, yes, we can. I'm giving them to Stephen
_	e you, yay, XX.
8 D	o you see that?
19 A	Yes.

20 Q Did Nathan Holmes give you happy pills or

21 pills or -- of some sort to give to Johnny on or

22 about February 27th or 28th of 2015?

	deplied Deuters	
1	ebruary 24, 2022	
-	63	-
	1 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for	
	2 speculation, hearsay, improper use of the	
	3 document, lack of foundation.	
	4 THE WITNESS: Genuinely something I don't	
	5 recall, no. If you know, if one of us, let's	
	6 say, was going to see Johnny, maybe I'd have a	
	7 post bits and pieces. You know, it could be	
	8 anything. But do I recall anything specific,	
	9 anything told to me, no, I absolutely do not.	
	10 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	
	11 Q But it's possible that you did pass along	
	12 pills for Johnny on or about February 27th, 2015,	
	13 correct?	
	14 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, calls for	
	15 speculation, asked and answered.	
	16 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'd be speculating on	
	17 myself there because I really couldn't tell.	
	18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	
	19 Q Okay. Let's go to the next page, please.	
	20 At the top of the page there's a text that says,	
	21 yay. Hello, Master D.	
-	22 Mr. Depp called you Master Deuters at	
	61	
	1 times right?	
	1 times, right?	
	1 times, right?2 A Yes.	
	 1 times, right? 2 A Yes. 3 Q And he says, I say I do believe that Ryan 	
	 times, right? A Yes. Q And he says, I say I do believe that Ryan Munson's gave you a wee baggage for me. Where 	
	 times, right? A Yes. Q And he says, I say I do believe that Ryan Munson's gave you a wee baggage for me. Where does it reside? X. 	
	 times, right? A Yes. Q And he says, I say I do believe that Ryan Munson's gave you a wee baggage for me. Where does it reside? X. Do you see that? 	
	 times, right? A Yes. Q And he says, I say I do believe that Ryan Munson's gave you a wee baggage for me. Where does it reside? X. Do you see that? A Yes. 	
	 times, right? A Yes. Q And he says, I say I do believe that Ryan Munson's gave you a wee baggage for me. Where does it reside? X. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And you reply, tis is in your green bag, 	
	 times, right? A Yes. Q And he says, I say I do believe that Ryan Munson's gave you a wee baggage for me. Where does it reside? X. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And you reply, tis is in your green bag, sir, toward the left-hand corner. X. 	
	 times, right? A Yes. Q And he says, I say I do believe that Ryan Munson's gave you a wee baggage for me. Where does it reside? X. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And you reply, tis is in your green bag, sir, toward the left-hand corner. X. Do you see that? 	
	 times, right? A Yes. Q And he says, I say I do believe that Ryan Munson's gave you a wee baggage for me. Where does it reside? X. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And you reply, tis is in your green bag, sir, toward the left-hand corner. X. 	
	 times, right? A Yes. Q And he says, I say I do believe that Ryan Munson's gave you a wee baggage for me. Where does it reside? X. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And you reply, tis is in your green bag, sir, toward the left-hand corner. X. Do you see that? 	
	 times, right? A Yes. Q And he says, I say I do believe that Ryan Munson's gave you a wee baggage for me. Where does it reside? X. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And you reply, tis is in your green bag, sir, toward the left-hand corner. X. Do you see that? A Yes. 	
	 times, right? A Yes. Q And he says, I say I do believe that Ryan Munson's gave you a wee baggage for me. Where does it reside? X. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And you reply, tis is in your green bag, sir, toward the left-hand corner. X. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And that was a text message that you sent 	
	 times, right? A Yes. Q And he says, I say I do believe that Ryan Munson's gave you a wee baggage for me. Where does it reside? X. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And you reply, tis is in your green bag, sir, toward the left-hand corner. X. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And that was a text message that you sent to Mr. Depp in response to his text message asking 	
	1 times, right? 2 A Yes. 3 Q And he says, I say I do believe that Ryan 4 Munson's gave you a wee baggage for me. Where 5 does it reside? X. 6 Do you see that? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And you reply, tis is in your green bag, 9 sir, toward the left-hand corner. X. 10 Do you see that? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And that was a text message that you sent 13 to Mr. Depp in response to his text message asking 14 where the wee baggage from Ryan was, right? 15 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the	
	1 times, right? 2 A Yes. 3 Q And he says, I say I do believe that Ryan 4 Munson's gave you a wee baggage for me. Where 5 does it reside? X. 6 Do you see that? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And you reply, tis is in your green bag, 9 sir, toward the left-hand corner. X. 10 Do you see that? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And that was a text message that you sent 13 to Mr. Depp in response to his text message asking 14 where the wee baggage from Ryan was, right? 15 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the 16 document, assumes facts, hearsay.	
	1 times, right? 2 A Yes. 3 Q And he says, I say I do believe that Ryan 4 Munson's gave you a wee baggage for me. Where 5 does it reside? X. 6 Do you see that? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And you reply, tis is in your green bag, 9 sir, toward the left-hand corner. X. 10 Do you see that? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And that was a text message that you sent 13 to Mr. Depp in response to his text message asking 14 where the wee baggage from Ryan was, right? 15 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the 16 document, assumes facts, hearsay. 17 THE WITNESS: I can only comment on what I	
	1 times, right? 2 A Yes. 3 Q And he says, I say I do believe that Ryan 4 Munson's gave you a wee baggage for me. Where 5 does it reside? X. 6 Do you see that? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And you reply, tis is in your green bag, 9 sir, toward the left-hand corner. X. 10 Do you see that? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And that was a text message that you sent 13 to Mr. Depp in response to his text message asking 14 where the wee baggage from Ryan was, right? 15 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the 16 document, assumes facts, hearsay. 17 THE WITNESS: I can only comment on what I 18 see in front of me, and it would seem to be a	
	1 times, right? 2 A Yes. 3 Q And he says, I say I do believe that Ryan 4 Munson's gave you a wee baggage for me. Where 5 does it reside? X. 6 Do you see that? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And you reply, tis is in your green bag, 9 sir, toward the left-hand corner. X. 10 Do you see that? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And that was a text message that you sent 13 to Mr. Depp in response to his text message asking 14 where the wee baggage from Ryan was, right? 15 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the 16 document, assumes facts, hearsay. 17 THE WITNESS: I can only comment on what I	

MR. ROTTENBORN: And just so the record is

22 clear, can you pull up the document entitled Depp

68

11296, please. 1 speculating, and I genuinely wouldn't know. I 2 don't know. THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Exhibit 5. 3 (Exhibit No. 5 was marked for MR. ROTTENBORN: Catherine, can you pull 4 identification.) 4 up Exhibit 1, please, and scroll down to page 815, 5 please. MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you. 6 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 6 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: O And just if you go to the bottom of that Q And at the bottom of page 815, line 22, 8 page, please, do you agree that these are the same 8 you were asked the question, now you have seen the 9 two text messages that we just looked at in the 9 full extent of the texts. Would you agree it 10 looks as if it is the supply of happy pills, not 10 prior document? 11 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague. 11 marijuana? 12 THE WITNESS: I mean, they look the same And your answer was, yes. Looking at 13 from --13 those texts, yes, perfectly possible. 14 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 14 Did I read that right? 15 O Okay. And I was just asking you because A I'm trying to see where it is. Perfectly 16 in the other document in the text it says, yay. 16 possible, all right, at the bottom of the page, 17 Hello, Master D. 17 yeah, I see it, yeah. 18 It wasn't clear to me who the recipient MR. ROTTENBORN: I think now is a good 19 was, but that was -- you can see in this document 19 time for a break. Thank you. 20 that that was -- that was a text message that THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Ms. Vasquez? 21 Mr. Depp sent to you on February 28th, 2015, 21 MS. VASQUEZ: That's fine, thanks. 22 Mr. Deuters, if I can just remind you to 22 correct? 66 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for a 1 turn off your camera and put yourself on mute while we're on break. 2 conclusion. 3 THE WITNESS: All right. THE WITNESS: I mean, again, just in the 4 document in front of me, that's how it would 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Offrecord 1:36. 5 5 appear, yeah. (A recess was taken.) 6 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record 1:48. 7 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: O And you'd agree having seen these text O There were times when during the course of 8 messages that it looks as if you're discussing the 9 supply of something called happy pills, correct? 9 your job as a personal assistant for Mr. Depp when 10 Mr. Depp passed out from drinking or drugs, MS. VASOUEZ: Objection; misstates the 11 document, misstates prior testimony, assumes 11 correct? 12 facts, vague, calls for speculation, asked and MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; compound, calls 12 13 for speculation, vague as to time. 13 answered. THE WITNESS: Yeah, because I don't recall THE WITNESS: Again, very rare occurrence. 15 There was something way back in 2011, but I -- you 15 these. I mean, all it says is wee baggage, wee 16 know, it wasn't something, no, that was a rare --16 baggage. 17 a common occurrence, no. I don't recall any 17 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 18 O And the wee baggage is -- it's possible 18 specific --19 that that's referring to happy pills, right? 19 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q What was the incident in 2011? MS. VASQUEZ: Same objections, calls for 20

22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

21 speculation.

THE WITNESS: Again, I'd be totally

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; relevance.

Conducted on I	February 24, 2022
69	71
1 Q You can answer.	1 THE WITNESS: Yeah, the term "hard" is
2 A I think it was – well, it's in the UK	2 strange. On occasion, I would wake him up to go
3 transcript.	3 to work, yes.
4 Q Right. Give me your best recollection	4 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
5 now, please.	5 Q Other than the incident in 2011 that you
6 A I just remember it was – it was – I	6 referred to, can you are there any other
7 think it was after filming. I think it was after	7 instances that you can recall where Mr. Depp was
8 filming one time, that was it. That's the only	8 passed out in your view as a result of drugs or
9 real time I can recall.	9 alcohol?
10 Q Okay. Mr. Depp regardless of I'm not	10 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; compound, calls
11 asking you the reasons for it, but Mr. Depp could	11 for speculation, assumes facts, lack of
12 be hard to wake up, couldn't he?	12 foundation.
13 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague and	13 THE WITNESS: At this point at this
14 ambiguous, calls for speculation.	14 point in time, I can't recall, no.
15 THE WITNESS: Hard to wake up -	15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you pull up the
16 MS. VASQUEZ: That's unintelligible.	16 document titled Depp 11312, please, Catherine.
17 THE WITNESS: No, I'm not quite sure how	17 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Please
18 to answer that.	18 standby.
19 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	19 Exhibit 6.
20 Q Well, you saw him when he was when you	20 (Exhibit No. 6 was marked for
21 were in the same location as he was, you saw him	21 identification.)
22 just about every day, right?	22 MR. ROTTENBORN: And I'll move this into
70	72
1 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts,	1 evidence. And I'll just make a statement for the
2 vague.	2 record that all of the exhibits shown today I'm
THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, if we were	3 going to make into evidence.
4 traveling, most days, yeah, that's a fair	4 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
5 assumption.	5 Q Mr. Deuters, if you look at the third text
6 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	6 down, that's a text from you to Mr. Depp on
7 Q And part of your job would be to get him	7 June 30th, 2015, right?
8 where he needed to be on time or as close to on	8 A Yeah.
9 time as possible, right?	9 Q And in that text you're telling him,
10 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts.	10 hopefully you won't read this till the morning.
11 THE WITNESS: Yeah.	11 You passed out in the M, slash, up trailer.
12 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	12 I assume that means makeup trailer, right?
13 Q And that could include waking him up if he	13 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for
14 was asleep, right?	14 speculation.
15 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts.	15 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
16 THE WITNESS: It could. It could, sure.	16 Q Well, you wrote it. So does that mean
17 BY MR, ROTTENBORN:	17 M, slash, up mean makeup trailer?
18 Q And there were times when Mr. Depp was	18 A Yes.
19 asleep at all hours of the day and he was hard to	19 Q So you're telling Mr. Depp he passed out
20 wake up, right?	20 in the makeup trailer, and then you say, we
21 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; compound, asked	21 couldn't quite get you up the stairs.
21 M. VINOCOLZ. Objection, compound, asked	21 commit quite got jou up ute suits.

Do you see that?

22 and answered, assumes facts.

Conducted on F	ebruary 24, 2022
73	75
1 A Yep.	1 were exhausted and had taken your meds early and
Q Do you recall this incident as one where	2 had gone to bed after an exhausting day of work.
3 Mr. Depp had fallen off the wagon?	Do you see that?
MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, ambiguous,	4 A Yeah.
5 calls for speculation, assumes facts.	5 Q Do you, sitting here today, recall
6 THE WITNESS: I don't recall, sorry.	6 anything about this particular incident?
7 MR. ROTTENBORN: Catherine, can you pull	7 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; asked and
8 up Exhibit 1, please, and take us to page 830,	8 answered, assumes facts, vague.
9 please.	9 THE WITNESS: I'm afraid not, I don't, no.
10 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	10 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
11 Q And on line 12, you were asked the	11 Q Were there ever times where you covered
12 question of page 830, what you said is, hopefully,	12 for Johnny by telling Amber something about his
13 he will not read this till morning, three texts	13 condition or his whereabouts that wasn't true?
14 down. Quote, you passed out in the makeup	MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; compound, assumes
15 trailer. We couldn't quite get you up the stairs.	15 facts, lack of foundation, vague and ambiguous.
16 There is food in the fridge in case you wake up.	16 THE WITNESS: Yes. Could you, please,
17 So it looks like he has fallen off the wagon; do	17 repeat rephrase the question.
18 you agree?	18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
And your answer on line 17 is, yes, it	19 Q Were there ever times when you told Amber
20 would suggest that.	20 a lie about Johnny's condition or his whereabouts
21 Did I read that right?	21 because you were trying to protect Johnny from
22 A Yes.	22 anger on Amber's behalf if she were to learn the
74	76
1 Q Now, you say later in the text, we told	1 truth of his condition or his whereabouts?
2 Amber in case she tried to get ahold of you that	2 A I don't
3 you were exhausted and had taken your meds early	3 MS. VASQUEZ: Object Mr. Deuters,
4 and had gone to sleep after an exhausting day at	4 sorry.
5 work.	That's a compound question. Object;
6 Do you see that?	6 compound, hearsay, assumes facts, lack of
7 MS. VASQUEZ: I think you have the wrong	7 foundation.
8 exhibit up, Mr. Rottenborn.	8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
9 MR. ROTTENBORN: Oh, thanks, Camille.	9 Q Go ahead.
10 Yeah, Catherine, if you can go back to the	10 A Again, it's not something I it's not
111 last.	11 something that I recall something that we did. I
112 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	12 can't - I can't speculate on any one occasion
13 Q Were there times do you see the part	13 when we would have done that or I would have done
14 I'm referring to in the text?	14 that. I don't recall.
15 A Sorry, Ben. Could you refer to the text?	15 Q Okay. Did and just while we have this
16 Q Sure enough. About halfway down	16 up, did did Johnny do smoke marijuana with
MR. ROTTENBORN: And maybe if you can just	17 his daughter?
18 blow up that one text, that would be great.	18 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, calls for
	19 speculation.
19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 20 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	20 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
21 Q About halfway down, you say, we told Amber	21 Q I'm just looking at the text. It's two
22 in case she tried to get ahold of you that you	22 down from the one we just looked at where Lily

1 Rose texts him, do you know where I can get weed THE WITNESS: I'm looking at the date. 2 in New York? 2 Nothing -- nothing -- 21st of December 2015, yeah. And I'm just -- do you have any -- did you 3 I don't, I'm afraid. Off the top of my head, I 4 ever observe or come to learn of Mr. Depp doing 4 don't marijuana with Lily Rose? 5 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: MS. VASOUEZ: Objection; calls for O Is -- what did you understand Ms. Heard to 7 speculation, improper use of a document. 7 be saying, attempting to move him is as futile now THE WITNESS: I do not. 8 as it is on any other day or situation? MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you, please, pull up MS, VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 10 the document entitled ALH 17970. 10 speculation. There's no way for this witness to THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Please 11 know what was in Ms. Heard's head. 12 standby. 12 MR. ROTTENBORN: Not what I asked. I 13 13 asked what did he understand. And that's an Exhibit 7. (Exhibit No. 7 was marked for 14 14 inappropriate speaking objection, so keep those to 15 identification.) 15 yourself, please, Camille. 16 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you. Move for MS. VASQUEZ: Same objections, calls for 17 admission of Exhibit 7. 17 speculation. 18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: THE WITNESS: Again -- well, I mean, it 18 O Mr. Deuters, this is a text message 19 reads what it reads. I can't speculate as to what 20 exchange between you and Amber on December 21st, 20 she was thinking or why she'd write that. 21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 21 2015, correct? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for a Q And, again, I wasn't asking you that. I 78 1 was asking what your understanding was of that. 1 conclusion. In other words, did you -- had you in the THE WITNESS: Yeah, I see -- I see her 3 course of your personal assistant duties to 3 name, I see my name. 2015, yeah. 4 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 4 Mr. Depp encounter situations where it was futile Q And you text Amber, the kids are asking 5 to try to move him when he was passed out? 6 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; asked and 6 for their dad. I hope you leave soon. answered, assumes facts. Do you see that? 8 THE WITNESS: Well, it doesn't say A Yes. 9 anything about passed out here. Yeah, sorry, I'm O And she says, hey, Stephen, I appreciate 10 not sure how to answer that question. 10 the text, but I'm not keeping him here. He's 11 downstairs. I'm up in the bed and attempting to 11 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q Were there ever times when you -- Mr. Depp 12 move him is as futile now as it is on any other 13 was either asleep or kind of in the in between, 13 day or situation, although I am sorry. 14 between being awake and asleep and you attempted And you write, thank you, Amber. I 15 to communicate with him but weren't sure whether 15 appreciate the text. I'm going to send Kevin in

> THE WITNESS: In the way you just 21 described it, nothing specific comes to mind, no.

16 or not he was hearing what you were saying?

18 calls for speculation, compound. Sorry, Ben,

19 unintelligible. I don't understand that question.

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, ambiguous,

MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up ALH 17949,

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, ambiguous,

16 to grab him.

18 A Yes.

Do you see that?

20 this specific occurrence?

22 assumes facts, hearsay.

19 Q Do you -- do you have any recollection of

17

21

Conducted on F	February 24, 2022
please. THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Exhibit 8. (Exhibit No. 8 was marked for identification.) BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q Do you recall a time when Amber and Johnny, along with some friends, went to a place called Hicksville? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I recall the name, but I I wasn't there, and I don't recall being involved in anything, planning order. I don't recall. HBY MR. ROTTENBORN:	but nodded his head when I told him you were coming, so that suggests that again, I'm speculating myself here, but suggest is (indiscernible). BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q Do you commonly talk to people when they rare asleep? That's not common, right? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; argumentative. THE WITNESS: If I'm waking my wife or my cat, yeah. I mean, I wouldn't have a full conversation with someone in their sleep; that would be strange. BY MR. ROTTENBORN: A And were there times when Johnny like as
15 Q Okay. Thank you. 16 Do you remember the date that that trip 17 took place? 18 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 19 speculation. 20 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't be able to tell 21 you off the top of my head without researching, 22 no.	15 in this text were was passed out or asleep and 16 you tried to have conversation or convey 17 information to him? 18 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; asked and 19 answered a third time, assumes facts, compound. 20 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I wouldn't have a 21 conversation with someone in their sleep, no; 22 that's peculiar.
MR. ROTTENBORN: If you can scroll down on the document, please. BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q Do you see the text from Amber to you that says, hey, there. Any luck waking my hillbilly? A Yes. Q Underneath that, you write, Mustaffa will be with you in ten min. JD is asleep on couch but nodded his head when I told him you were coming. Do you see that? A Yes. Q Does that refresh your recollection as to whether there were times when Johnny was passed dout or asleep but you still tried to communicate things to him? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates prior testimony, asked and answered, assumes facts, compound, vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: It doesn't really — in 20 answer directly to your question, it doesn't	BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q So if you were conveying information to someone, then it wouldn't be because they are asleep; they would be in some form of consciousness for you to try to convey information to them, right? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; asked and answered, assumes facts, relevance. THE WITNESS: Sorry, Ben. Can you repeat the question? BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q I'll ask a different question. Russ not uncommon for Johnny to be passed out in drunken- or drug-induced stupors, swas it? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague as to time, calls for speculation, assumes facts, compound, lack of foundation. THE WITNESS: I'd say it was uncommon, THE WITNESS: I'd say it was uncommon,

22 Q But it did happen from time to time,

21 really refresh. I mean, just reading the text, it 22 just reads what it says, he's asleep on the couch

correct? MS. VASQUEZ: Same objections, calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, on very rare 5 occurrence. But right now, I can't recall any 5 remember? specific times apart from the one I referred to in 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Catherine, can we pull up 8 ALH 17968 and -69, please. THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Standby, 11 Counsel. One moment. 12 Exhibit 9. 13 (Exhibit No. 9 was marked for 14 identification.) 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you. 16 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: O You see at the top your name and 18 Ms. Heard's name listed in this series of text 19 messages from on or around January 18th, 2015? 20 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the 21 document, assumes facts. THE WITNESS: I see her name, there's my 22 So maybe 2014 is the last time I recall anything 86 1 name, and I see a date on the document, yeah. being broached. 2 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: O Were there times when you were around and 4 in the presence of Mr. Depp when either you or 5 other people expressed concerns to him about his 6 drug or alcohol use? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for

8 hearsay, assumes facts, compound, lack of

THE WITNESS: Yes, there were

9 foundation, vague.

12 with regard to that.

17

13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

16 on Mr. Depp and his life, correct?

18 testimony, hearsay, calls for speculation.

20 just -- yeah, just concern for his general

THE WITNESS: It was more, I would say,

21 well-being and, yeah, and health. But, again, I'm

1 worker. And, yeah, so on occasion it was 2 certainly broached, yeah. BY MR. ROTTENBORN: O What specific conversations can you MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, assumes facts, and calls for hearsay. THE WITNESS: I don't remember specific conversations. I just recall that it did come up 10 once or twice. A specific - no. I mean, off the 11 top of my head, I can't remember the details of 12 specific conversations, I'm afraid. 13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q Do you remember when they took place? 15 A I remember, again, one way back in 2000 -16 gosh, 2000 - 2010, was it? 2011? 2010 or 2011, 17 I'm forgetting which, and that led to an extended 18 period of sobriety. And then there may have been 19 something in 2014, but I don't think I was 20 involved in that and certainly not for a long 21 time, so there's nothing that's come out recent.

MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you scroll down to 3 the end of this -- the end of the next page, please. And maybe just blow up that last text. BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

Q So in this text, you write to Ms. Heard, he really pulled himself together today. He could tell we were all a bit worried. And Debbie spoke 9 to him directly, but he made the effort and came 10 around and was very good for the rest of the day 11 and remains lucid this evening. I know he's

12 excited to be reunited.

Do you see that? 13

14 A Yes.

Q Do you know who Debbie is referring to 15 16 there? Is it Debbie Lloyd?

A There's an assistant called Debbie as 18 well. Yeah, I don't know.

What was the date on this? 19

Q January 18th, 2015. 20

A I don't recall specifically, no. 21

Q Do you -- when you say, he could tell we 22

11 conversations that occurred on occasion, yeah, 14 Q And that's because you were concerned 15 about the effects the drug and alcohol were having MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates prior

22 not a doctor, not specific. I'm just, you know, a PLANET DEPOS

91 1 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 1 were all a bit worried, what were you a bit Q Were you ever present for -- I'm sorry. 2 worried about? A I - yeah, I couldn't tell you 3 Go ahead, I interrupted you. 4 specifically looking back at that. I don't know A Sorry. I forgot my train of thought. 5 because I don't know what the context is. I don't 5 Please go on. Q Were you ever present for any such 6 quite know what we were doing, where we were off 7 the top of my head. I don't know what I was 7 meetings? 8 worried about. Maybe it was late or something. I A I may have helped facilitate the meetings. 9 don't know. 9 I'm sure I did. But present, I would, again, Q You also say, he remains lucid this 10 speculate. But I'm not a doctor. I probably 11 evening, correct? Do you see that? 11 wouldn't have been invited, so I don't think I 12 would have been directly present for any private 12 A Yeah. 13 Q Most human beings remain lucid during 13 conversations in there. O Do you remember taking a flight from 14 their waking hours, right? 15 Boston to Los Angeles with Mr. Depp and Ms. Heard MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for gross 15 16 and others on May 24th, 2014? 16 speculation, assumes facts. THE WITNESS: Yeah, lucid is a -- yeah, A Sorry. The date again, Ben? 18 lucid can mean many things. 18 Q May 24th, 2014. 19 A Right, yes. Yeah, what was the question, Ben? Do most 20 MR. ROTTENBORN: Catherine, you can take 20 people remain lucid for --21 that exhibit down, please. Thank you. 21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q Most people remain lucid during their 90 92 1 waking hours, right? 1 Q That was a fairly memorable flight, 2 A Questionable, but perhaps. 2 correct? 3 3 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague. Q To some degree. THE WITNESS: Memorable. You seem to -- I guess my question is you 5 seem to -- it seems to be notable to you that BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q You do remember being on that flight? Johnny remained lucid that evening. MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; asked and And my question to you is, is that because oftentimes he was not lucid in the evenings? answered. THE WITNESS: Yes. MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts, 10 calls for speculation, vague and ambiguous. 10 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: THE WITNESS: I may have just used it Q And do you remember Mr. Depp -- let's say, 12 can we agree, Mr. Depp was not his best self on 12 in the -- again, I'm speculating on myself, so I 13 that plane? 13 don't know. It could have just been, you know, MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, ambiguous, 14 just as a good evening. I don't know. 15 assumes facts. 15 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: THE WITNESS: Yeah, best self, yeah, I'm 16 Q Did you ever -- were you ever present for 17 not quite sure what you mean there, Ben, so --17 meetings that Dr. Kipper or his staff had with 18 Johnny about his drug or alcohol abuse? 18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 19 Q Were you with him -- were you with him MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts, 19 20 when he arrived at the plane in Boston that day? 20 calls for hearsay. A I don't think so, no, no, I wasn't. I 21 THE WITNESS: To the best of my knowledge,

22 they weren't meetings I was directly involved in.

22 think, if I remember correctly, I believe I met

93

94

1 him on the plane. I wasn't with him traveling to2 the plane, my best recollection, no.

- Q And Mr. Depp was under the influence of something when he boarded the plane that day, right, to your understanding and observation?
- 6 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 7 speculation.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, because I wasn't with 9 him beforehand. So, yes, so I couldn't say -- I 10 couldn't say that he was or wasn't categorically, 11 no.

12 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

- 13 Q Well, over the course of -- you've worked 14 with him for 18 years, so you generally have an 15 idea when he's been -- when he's under the 16 influence of some sort of drugs or alcohol, 17 correct?
- 18 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; compound, calls 19 for speculation, vague and ambiguous.
- 20 THE WITNESS: I mean, I supposed that 21 could go for anyone if they are acting out of the 22 ordinary, I suppose.

1 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

- Q Now, when he was on the plane, he drank champagne, correct?
- 4 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for
- 5 speculation, assumes facts.
- 6 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
- Q Did you see him drink any champagne on the plane?
- 9 A I perhaps remember a glass on the table, 10 at best, but it's a push. But, yes, I could say I 11 do think I remember a glass on the table. I can 12 say that, yeah.
- 13 Q Do you recall is it your testimony that 14 you think he had just one glass of champagne on 15 the plane?
- 16 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the 17 prior testimony.
- 18 THE WITNESS: It could have been a couple 19 of glasses. I genuinely don't recall. I don't 20 recall the specifics. I think I heard someone say 21 two bottles somewhere, but I don't know.
- 22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

1 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

- Q Was he acting normal when he boarded the plane that day?
- 4 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague and 5 ambiguous.
- 6 THE WITNESS: I recall -- again, to the 7 best of my knowledge, I recall him sort of acting
- 8 in a very insular fashion that day. I do recall
- 9 that.

10 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

- 11 Q What do you mean by "insular"?
- 12 A Very quiet, very closed off.
- 13 Q Quiet and closed off is how you would 14 describe him that day?

15 A Uh-huh.

- 16 Q Do you have any personal knowledge of 17 what, if any, drugs or alcohol he had consumed 18 before he boarded the plane?
- 19 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; asked and 20 answered, calls for speculation.
- 21 THE WITNESS: I don't have any personal 22 knowledge, no.

- Q Do you -- and there's been a lot of
- 2 evidence about this flight, but do you
- 3 personally -- do you recall him drinking two
- 4 bottles of champagne on that flight?
- 5 A I do not recall him drinking two bottles 6 on the flight, no.
- 7 Q Do you recall him drinking any other 8 alcohol on that flight?
- 9 A No, not to the best of my knowledge. At 10 this point, I don't recall any other alcohol.
- 11 Q Do you -- did you observe him taking any 12 drugs on that flight?
- 13 A No.
- 14 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague.

15 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

- 16 Q Where was he seated on the plane in 17 relation to you? Just give me a layout of kind 18 of, you know, who was seated where if you can.
- 19 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague as to time.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Do I do it with my fingers?
- 21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
- 22 Q Sure. Just describe for me as best you

PLANET DEPOS

1 can. 1 plane. Like, for example, if they are by a table. 2 they are bolted. If they are (indiscernible) the 2 Was this -- this was a private jet; is 3 table, they might move. that right? 4 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: A Yeah. All right. So you got the pilot 5 here and then galley. And then as you come into O Do you recall whether the seats on this 6 plane swiveled or not? 6 the plane, we had Jerry Judge, Johnny's former A Well, the seats at the table would not 7 security, on the left seat. I was on the right 8 seat. I'm pretty sure this seat in front of Jerry 8 have swiveled. The seat that I sat on would 9 likely have swiveled, but I'm speculating a bit 9 was empty. In front of me was Keenan Wyatt. And 10 there. I don't know for sure. But in my 10 then you got a little break. And then you come to 11 experience, it probably would have. 11 sort of a table towards the left. And you had 12 Johnny, I remember, in the corner against the Q Yeah, well, I'm sure you have more private 13 jet experience than I do, but did you sit at any 13 window. And then sat next to him was Amber in 14 of the seats on the table, by the table on this 14 front of the table. 15 flight? So that day, we were -- we weren't like A No, I don't think so. 16 close, but we were across the way. They were -16 O So you can't be sure that they didn't 17 we were facing each other. 18 swivel, correct? O Okay. So you were facing each other with A I didn't sit on them, so, no, I can't be 19 at least one set of seats between you --20 A Yes. 20 sure in that respect. Just in my experience, the 21 seats at tables do not swivel. 21 O -- is that right? O Now, walk me through Johnny's general 22 A Two actually and a space beyond that, 98 100 1 yeah. 1 demeanor over the course of the flight that you O Okay. Okay. So fair to say over the 2 observed personally. 3 noise of the airplane, you wouldn't have heard --3 A Like I say, I recall him being very 4 or did you hear -- actually we'll get to that in a 4 insular, very closed off in that respect. I 5 second. remember him taking his seat and really not moving I assume you've been on a number of these from it being in that corner sort of against the private jets during your time with Johnny, right? 7 window. Pretty sure he had glasses on, maybe even A Yes. a hat. I remember him, he was - as he always O And do the seats -- are they fixed in one 9 does -- sorry, excuse me. He always has like a 10 place or do they sort of swivel like airplane 10 little stack of notebooks, a bunch of pens. And I 11 seats do in private jets? 11 just remember a lot of writing and reading and 12 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague as to 12 drawing and scribbling and such. That's what I 13 flight. 13 recall. I always sort of sat with my - you know, 14 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 14 planes are loud, and I always sat there with my Q Are you familiar with what I'm talking 15 headphones on and just doing my thing, but that's 16 about how oftentimes private jet seats will sort 16 what I recall from him. 17 of swivel out into the aisle a little bit or on an Q Did you -- do you recall engaging in any 18 conversation with Johnny once the flight took off? 18 angle? 19 A Some do -19 You personally --MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague. 20 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: -- some don't. Depends --21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

Q -- or were you too far away to have a

22 in my experience, depends where they are on the

101 103 1 conversation with him? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague. A Yeah, in those kind of seats with the THE WITNESS: Without being able to hear 2 3 loudness and the headphones, so I wouldn't - no, 3 the words, it looked like it was a heightened 4 you wouldn't talk from those positions. 4 conversation. O Okay. In part because of the noise of the 5 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 6 plane? Q Okay. And --A Yeah. A It was one-sided though, I should say. O Did -- describe the interactions between Q What do you mean by that? 9 Amber and Johnny on that flight. A Well, only one person was talking that I 10 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague and 10 could tell. 11 ambiguous. O And that was Amber? THE WITNESS: I remember her being quite A Yeah, but I could see the visual. 12 13 aggressive towards him. I remember him in that O And that was Amber? 13 14 position and -- it's me speculating, but he didn't 14 A Yes. 15 move from that position, and she appeared to get 15 Q Now, at some point Johnny kicked Amber in 16 more -- what's the word I'm looking for here --16 the back or --17 full of gestures, those three words. She was -MS. VASQUEZ: Objection --17 18 yeah, I remember that. I remember witnessing 18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 19 that. O - on her bottom, right? 20 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts, 21 O What do you mean by her being more 21 lack of foundation, and vague. 22 aggressive? Just gesturing at him? THE WITNESS: It's what I would refer to 102 104 A Gesturing at him. She was certainly - I 1 as a cheeky, playful tap. 2 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 2 mean, again, because of the noise, headphones, I 3 could not hear the words, but I could see that she Q So he gave her what you refer to as a 4 cheeky, playful tap? 4 was talking to him. Q You didn't see her hit him or be violent 5 A Yes. But where it connected, I don't toward him physically, right? 6 know. O Did you see this cheeky, playful tap? 7 A I did not see anything -MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. A Mr. Wyatt's head would have been directly 9 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 9 in front of me. So if there was any connection, 10 Q And over the course of their relationship, 10 that was kind of obscuring, but I remember the 11 you never observed Ms. Heard being physically 11 attempt at a cheeky, playful tap. Q Did you witness that attempt? 12 violent to Mr. Depp, correct? A Yes. MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague and 13 Q And then what happened? 14 ambiguous. MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague. 15 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 15 THE WITNESS: As she took a great offense 16 O You can answer. 17 to the tap. And I think her -- she stood up --17 A I never saw her hit him, no. I never -18 Q And she never told you that she had been 18 no. She was stood up at that point. She was 19 already stood up. And I think her hands went in 19 physically violent to Mr. Depp, correct? 20 the air, and she was much more physical with her 20 A We didn't have that conversation, no.

21 Q So getting back to the plane flight, is it 22 fair to say it appeared they were in an argument?

21 hands at that point, admonishing Johnny.

22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

105 107 Q Not touching him? When you say "physical 1 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 2 with her hands," just gesturing more? Q But that's not something you personally A Correct, not touching. Myself and Jerry 3 have knowledge of? 4 stood up because obviously, you know, there was A No. 5 something to tend to to figure out what it was. Q So at some point he went into the bathroom 6 And Jerry - Jerry pulled Amber aside, and I think and you went and tried to communicate with him, 7 at that point Johnny did move from his chair. And 7 but you said he wasn't very communicative; is that 8 we went down - if you carry on from where that 8 right? 9 table was, like a little galley and then there's a MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the 10 bathroom, and then you went toward the bathroom, 10 prior testimony, compound, calls for hearsay. 11 and I remember sort of just asking him, what's up? THE WITNESS: I recall, to the best of my 12 What's going on? And I don't recall him wanting 12 knowledge, him, yeah, just not wanting to have 13 to talk much. I don't think we had much of a 13 conversation. I couldn't say beyond that at this 14 conversation. And then he went into the bathroom. 14 point. 15 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q And one of the reasons you didn't have 16 much of a conversation is because he was -- he was Q Who else -- so we've established a pilot 17 heavily intoxicated on something at that point, 17 obviously was flying the plane. You were on the 18 right? 18 plane, Keenan Wyatt was on the plane. 19 19 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for And who is Keenan Wyatt? 20 speculation, assumes facts, lack of foundation, 20 A I'm sorry? 21 hearsay. 21 Q Who is Keenan Wyatt, just for the record? 22 THE WITNESS: I go back to my previous 22 A Keenan Wyatt was a - he's a sound 108 1 technician. 1 answer; he did seem very closed off, very quiet. 2 I recall that specifically. And I don't -- I Q Employed by Johnny, right? 3 3 mean, yeah, he wasn't talking much that I could MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 4 see. I mean, even though, again, you can't hear 4 speculation, assumes facts. 5 but you can see when someone is talking, I don't THE WITNESS: No. Technically he's 6 remember him talking much. employed by whatever production or film we may 7 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 7 have been on. But he had worked with Johnny on Q Was he screaming obscenities? 8 many of films, so he was part of the team. A I don't recall that, no. 9 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 10 O Did you see Ms. Heard -- you didn't see Q Okay. Jerry Judge, Amber, Johnny. 11 her do any drugs on the plane, right? 11 Was there anyone else that you can recall MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague. 12 on the flight? 12 THE WITNESS: No, I don't recall. I don't 13 A I don't recall. I don't remember if 14 recall any drugs on the plane. 14 Heard's assistant Savannah McMillen was on the 15 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 15 flight, but I genuinely can't recall honestly if 16 Q Did you see her have any alcohol on the 16 she was on the plane or not. 17 plane? Q I'm going to -- we're going to listen to a 18 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 18 recording that I know you listened to in the UK, 19 and so I'll ask the court reporter to pull that 19 speculation. 20 up, and we'll listen to it and then I'll have some THE WITNESS: If Johnny was having a

PLANET DEPOS

22

21 questions for you on that.

MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you, please, pull up

21 glass, then she was probably having a glass, too,

22 but --

Conducted on February 24, 2022		
109	111	
1 the recording titled, Boston plane incident,	1 recording, having known and worked with him for	
2 May 24th, 2014.	2 16 years?	
THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Please	3 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; improper use of a	
4 standby.	4 document, hearsay, calls for speculation.	
5 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thanks, Catherine.	5 MR. ROTTENBORN: I'm not using any	
6 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Sharing	6 document right now; I'm just asking the witness a	
7 Exhibit 10.	7 question.	
8 (Exhibit No. 10 was marked for	8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	
9 identification.)	9 Q Would you agree I'll ask it again.	
10 (Exhibit No. 10 was played to the	10 Would you agree that it is Mr. Depp's	
11 witness.)	11 voice making those sounds, having known and worked	
12 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	12 with him for 16 years?	
13 Q Mr. Deuters, would you agree that there's	13 A I mean, it could well be. I mean, it	
14 a lot of background noise in that recording?	14 sounds like it, yes, but I don't – I don't know	
15 A Yeah.	15 for sure.	
16 MR. ROTTENBORN: You can take that down,	16 Q And did you	
17 Catherine, please. Thanks.	MR. ROTTENBORN: You can take that down,	
18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	18 Catherine. Thanks.	
19 Q Would you agree that that noise is	19 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	
20 consistent with the noise that one hears on an	20 Q Did you hear on the video or I'm	
21 airplane?	21 sorry on the audio recording someone say	
22 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for	22 something to the effect of, I'm going to stay with	
110	112	
1 speculation, vague and ambiguous.	1 this fucking idiot in case he gets sick?	
2 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	2 Did you hear that?	
3 Q You can answer.	3 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts,	
4 A Sorry. Is it similar to something you	4 hearsay.	
5 would hear on an airplane? Was that the question?	5 THE WITNESS: Barely. My hearing is not	
6 Q Would you agree that that background noise	6 great, but I could vaguely hear another voice at	
7 is consistent with the noise that one hears on an	7 times, yes.	
	8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	
8 airplane?		
9 MS. VASQUEZ: Same objections, calls for		
10 speculation.	MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts,	
THE WITNESS: I mean, it's possible.	11 calls for speculation, misstates the audio.	
MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. Let's pull up	12 THE WITNESS: I don't think it was, no.	
13 Exhibit 1, please. And if you can go to page 771,	13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	
14 please, Catherine.	14 Q Do you have an opinion as to who that was	
15 Q And on line five, you were asked a	15 who said that or words to that effect?	
16 question, would you agree that is consistent with	16 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; hearsay, calls	
17 the noise that one hears on an airplane?	17 for speculation, assumes facts, lack of	
18 Line seven you answered, yes.	18 foundation.	
19 Did I read that right?	19 THE WITNESS: I couldn't I couldn't say	
20 A Yeah.	20 for sure, no. But it didn't sound like my voice,	
	21 no.	
22 Mr. Depp's voice making those sounds on the	22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	

- Q Now, was Johnny unconscious at all for any 2 part of that flight?
- MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague and 4 ambiguous, calls for speculation.
- THE WITNESS: Not that I recall. I
- 6 recall, as I mentioned before, going to the back
- 7 of the plane, him not wanting to talk much and
- 8 going to the bathroom, and then returning to my
- 9 seat, headphones back on, landing.

10 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

- 11 O And on or about the next day, you had a 12 conversation with him about the events on that 13 flight, right?
- 14 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts, 15 calls for hearsay, vague and ambiguous, lack of 16 foundation.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I mean, there wasn't really 18 anything to discuss, yeah.
- 19 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
- Q Did you have a conversation with Johnny 21 about that plane flight?
- MS. VASQUEZ: Same objections, asked and

- 1 answered.
- 2 BY MR, ROTTENBORN:
- Q You can answer.
- A So I'm lost on the question. Where are we 5 at now?
- Q Did you have a conversation with Johnny
- 7 the following day about that plane flight?
- MS. VASQUEZ: Vague and ambiguous,
- 9 hearsay, assumes facts, lack of foundation, asked 10 and answered.
- THE WITNESS: To the best of my knowledge,
- 12 I can't recall the conversation, but obviously I
- 13 know the text you're referring to, and I recall
- 14 wanting to mollify him wanting to placate and
- 15 smooth things over with, you know, whatever didn't 16 occur.
- 17 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
- 18 Q And we'll get to those texts, the texts 19 with Amber.
- 20 I'm asking about did you have a
- 21 conversation with Johnny in which he had forgotten
- 22 parts of what happened on that plane flight?

- MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts,
- 2 calls for speculation, vague and ambiguous.
- hearsay.
- THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't recall a
- 5 specific conversation about what had not happened
- 6 on the plane, no.
- 7 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
- O Johnny conveyed to you that he had
- 9 forgotten swathes of what happened on that plane 10 flight, right?
- MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts,
- 12 argumentative, hearsay, lack of foundation.
- THE WITNESS: Again, I can't remember
- 14 specifically. I do recall the conversation
- 15 regarding to placate Heard. There was that.
- 16 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
- Q All right. We'll get to that in a minute.
- MR. ROTTENBORN: But, meanwhile. 18
- 19 Catherine, can you pull up Exhibit 1, please, and 20 please go to page 758.
- 21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
- Q And in the UK litigation, Mr. Deuters,
- 116 1 were you asked this question and did you give this
- 2 answer: Question, line eight, did he appear from
- what happened between you and him to have
- 4 forgotten swathes of that plane journey?
- Answer: I mean, the conversation that we 6 had the following day, yes, that is in accordance.
- The memory was not, you know, solid all the way,
- 8 yes?
- A Yeah.
- Q And the reason that Johnny couldn't
- 11 remember parts of that plane journey was because
- 12 his consumption of drugs or alcohol caused him to 13 have a blackout, right?
- MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for
- 15 speculation, assumes facts, lack of foundation, 16 compound, vague and ambiguous.
- THE WITNESS: I couldn't comment on why, 17 18 no.
- 19 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
- Q Okay. Leaving page 758 up, didn't you --
- 21 weren't you asked the following question and
- 22 didn't you give the following answer in the UK:

Conducted on February 24, 2022		
1 Question: Well there's two questions and	1 Q What does that mean? Help him get home?	
2 answers.	2 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection	
3 Line 13, question: So the memory was not	3 THE WITNESS: Yeah.	
4 solid all the way through. You were saying he was	4 MS. VASQUEZ: misstates prior	
5 not unconscious at any stage?	5 testimony.	
6 Answer: No, I do not recall that. No,	6 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	
7 certainly not.	7 Q Did do you recall him remaining asleep	
8 Question: Which suggests that the	8 in the plane after other people got off the plane?	
9 consumption of all of this stuff caused him to	9 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts,	
10 have a lapse of memory or that type of blackout,	10 lack of foundation, vague.	
11 yes?	11 THE WITNESS: I don't I don't recall if	
12 Answer: Yes.	12 he was there asleep there or not at that time.	
13 Did I read that right?	13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	
14 A Well, I mean, that's sort of putting words	14 Q Okay. The fact is, Mr. Deuters, when the	
15 in my mouth a little bit there.	15 plane landed, Mr. Depp was drunk and passed out,	
16 Q I'm just asking if I read that	16 correct?	
17 MS. VASQUEZ: Mr. Deuters	17 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; compound, calls	
18 THE WITNESS: You read it, yeah.	18 for speculation, assumes facts, lack of	
19 Sorry, Camille?	19 foundation, argumentative.	
20 MS. VASQUEZ: Mr. Rottenborn's question	20 THE WITNESS: I genuinely don't recall if	
21 was did he read it right; that's the only	21 that was his state or not upon landing.	
22 question.	22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	
118	120	
1 THE WITNESS: All right. Yes.	1 Q And you claim that you had a conversation	
2 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	2 with him the next day.	
3 Q Did you when the plane landed in	3 You don't recall certain parts of it, but	
4 Boston or I'm sorry in Los Angeles, did	4 you've used the word "mollify" and "placate" a	
5 you stay on the plane and stay with Johnny	5 couple of times.	
6 remained on the plane while others got off the	6 So tell me what do you recall tell me	
7 plane, correct?	7 anything that you recall about any conversation	
8 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts,	8 you had with Johnny about this plane flight or the	
9 vague.	9 aftermath of it.	
10 THE WITNESS: It's possible, but I don't	10 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague and	
11 recall specifically.	11 ambiguous, compound, calls for hearsay, and	
12 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	12 assumes facts.	
13 Q Did you stay with him until he exited the	13 THE WITNESS: I just I vaguely recall	
14 plane?	14 that we had a conversation whereby he was keen	
15 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts.	15 who I suppose smooth whatever issue there was	
16 THE WITNESS: I probably would have seen	16 or there wasn't. And he asked me to write a text,	
17 him home, but, again, I couldn't say for sure.	17 and that's what I recall.	
18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	
19 Q I'm sorry, I missed that answer.	19 Q What did he ask you to you say to write	
20 You probably would have what?	20 her a text.	
20 100 producty from the first		

22 A Yes.

You're referring to Amber?

21 A It's likely that I would have seen him

22 home.

	Conducted on F
	121
1	Q What did he ask you to write in the text?
2	MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for
3	hearsay.
4	THE WITNESS: I don't think
5	MR. ROTTENBORN: You're just making
6	ridiculous objections, Camille, but
7	MS. VASQUEZ: I'm not making ridiculous
	objections, Ben.
9	MR. ROTTENBORN: You are. They are
	entirely frivolous, and you know it.
11	, , , , ,
	Why don't we let the judge decide if they are
	frivolous or not. You're asking him what somebody
14	said; that's hearsay.
15	MR. ROTTENBORN: The judge will decide,
16	and we reserve all rights.
17	MS. VASQUEZ: And I reserve all rights to
	state my objections on the record.
19	BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
20	Q Mr. Deuters, what did he ask you to write
21	in the text?
22	MS. VASQUEZ: Same objection.
1	TIPE NUTENIESS. I deeld believe that he was
	THE WITNESS: I don't believe that he was
2	specific with his words. He said just said write
3	whatever you think she needs to hear.
1	BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
5	Q What did you understand that to mean?
5	A As it sounds, write whatever she needs to
7	hear.
	Q Did Mr. Depp express any regret about any
	of his conduct on that flight?
10	
	calls for hearsay.
12	, 0
	assumed or didn't assume was from the mouth of
	others. I don't think I think he was being
	told being told things and he was responding to
	those things.
	BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
18	Q Was he being told things by you?

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague.

21 him -- I don't know what I would have told him.

22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

THE WITNESS: I don't recall telling

19

20

February 24, 2022 Q Do you recall telling Mr. Depp anything 2 about his conduct on the plane flight? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts, 4 vague and ambiguous, asked and answered. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't have told him 6 anything, no, because there wasn't really anything 7 to tell. 8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q Now, as you've alluded to before, you 10 have -- well, let me ask you this: In your 11 capacity as personal assistant for Mr. Depp. 12 having worked for him for a number of years, did 13 you -- were you alarmed or disturbed by any of his 14 conduct on that plane flight? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; argumentative, 16 vague, assumes facts. THE WITNESS: I was not concerned by his 18 behavior, no. 19 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 20 Q Now, at some point you had a series of 21 text messages with Ms. Heard about the flight, 22 correct? 124 1 A Yes. MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you pull up the 3 document entitled ALH 17950 to 17598. 4 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q And while Catherine is doing that, you 6 said I was not concerned by his behavior? Were you concerned by anyone's behavior on 8 that flight? A I was concerned by the nature of her 10 behavior, yes, towards him. Q And how so? 12 A Just made me uncomfortable, just showed 13 there was a dynamic something was wrong. Q And what about her behavior made you 15 uncomfortable? 16 A The gesticulating, the - her demeanor 17 toward him.

THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Exhibit 11. 21

Q But you didn't hear anything she said to

22 (Exhibit No. 11 was marked for

19 him or he said to her, correct?

A Correct.

20

Conducted on F	February 24, 2022
125	127
1 identification.)	1 it in that instance.
2 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you.	2 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
3 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	3 Q Okay. Below that you write, he's in some
4 Q But despite not hearing anything that he	4 pain, as you might guess.
5 said to her or she said to him, you made the	5 Do you see that?
6 judgment that her behavior was concerning; is that	6 A Yeah.
7 your testimony?	7 Q What did you mean when you wrote, he's in
8 A Sorry. One more time, Ben.	8 some pain?
9 Q Without hearing anything that she said to	9 A Again, in this context, I'd only be
10 him or he said to her, your testimony is that you	10 speculating because I can't a hundred percent say.
11 made the judgment that her behavior on the flight	11 Q So you have no no testimony to offer as
12 was concerning because of	12 to what you meant by, he is in some pain?
13 A Yes.	13 MS. VASQUEZ: Asked and answered,
14 Q her gesticulating?	14 argumentative.
15 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; argumentative.	15 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
16 THE WITNESS: From a physical yeah,	16 Q Is that right?
17 from a physical view that I had, yeah, it was	17 A Yeah, in this context, I couldn't tell you
18 aggressive.	18 either way for sure, no.
19 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	19 Q The two texts down well, one text down
20 Q This is a if you look at the top, this	20 you write, we're on our way to 80.
21 is a text message exchange between you and Amber	21 That's referring to 80 Sweetzer Avenue,
22 on May 24th, 2014; do you see that?	22 right?
126	128
1 A Yeah.	1 A Yes.
2 Q In the first text at the top you write,	2 Q And that's one of Johnny's residences on
3 he's up in the bathroom. Moving slowly. Will let	3 Sweetzer?
4 you know when en route and how he is in the car?	4 A Yes.
5 A Yeah.	5 Q Below that you write, he's been sick.
6 Q Were you referring to him being in the	6 We're going to get him straight to bed.
7 bathroom on the plane?	7 Do you see that?
8 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts.	8 A Yes.
9 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I would've	9 Q What did you mean when you said, he's been
10 thought I might have been in the house or	10 sick?
11 something. I don't know which bathroom I'm	11 A Well, it probably reads as probably is
12 referring to, sorry.	12 what it is.
13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	13 Q What does that mean?
	14 A Well, it means that he was sick. But I
14 Q And when you say "moving slowly," you 15 meant moving slowly because he was hung over or	15 don't – I mean, I've written it, but I'm just
16 somehow sick from drugs and alcohol or alcohol,	16 referring to what's in front of me here. I don't
	17 recall it, I can't picture it.
17 right?	
18 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for	
19 speculation, assumes facts, misstates the	19 like sorry. Strike that.
20 document.	20 Do you mean like the flu or he's been sick
21 THE WITNESS: Again, in this context,	21 from drinking or drug use?
22 sorry, I can't confirm either way what I mean by	22 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for

Transcript of Stephen Deuters Conducted on February 24, 2022

129	
1 speculation, asked and answered, argumentative.	1 document
2 He's answered the question.	2 THE
THE WITNESS: Yeah, it would be just	3 that text,
4 speculation on my behalf, sir, because I can't	4 exactly w
5 specifically recall him being physically sick, I	5 BY MR.
6 can't say he was physically sick, so that I'm just	6 Q You
7 guessing. I don't know.	7 get better
BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	8 right?
Q Now, you write below that, he's sound	9 MS.
0 asleep. We're here looking out for him.	10 calls for
Do you see that?	11 THE
2 A Yeah.	12 mean, it v
3 Q What do you mean by, we're here looking	13 specifica
14 out for him?	14 not clear
15 A I suppose in that context - I mean, if I	15 BY MR.
6 said he's been sick, then just probably, yeah, I'm	16 Q Oka
7 looking out for him, just looking out for him for	17 doesn't w
18 a bit.	18 Did
19 Q Do you typically stay with Johnny after	19 to be a fu
20 he's sound asleep?	20 MS.
21 A No.	21 THE
22 Q So you're looking out for him there	22 that to me
130	
because he's sleeping off a hangover or because	1 don't reca
2 he's sound asleep as a result of being under the	2 BY MR.
3 influence of drugs or alcohol, right?	3 Q Oka
MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; asked and	4 hadn't sai
answered, argumentative, calls for speculation,	5 MS.
assumes facts.	6 asked and
THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'd be speculating, so	7 THE
B I couldn't say. I couldn't say.	8 ever had
BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	9 appease,
Q If you go to the next page, please, the	10 BY MR.
11 second text down, you write, hey, he's up. He's	11 Q Is it
12 much better. Clearer. He doesn't remember much,	12 clear t
13 but we took him through all that happened. He's	13 doesn't w
4 sorry, very sorry, and just wants to get better,	14 MS.
5 which allows us to make him follow up on that	15 prior test
6 promise.	16 THE
Do you see that?	17 those wo
18 A Yeah.	18 BY MR.

19 Q What did you mean when you said, which

21 Did you mean a promise to get better?

20 allows us to make him follow up on that promise?

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the

131 nt, assumes facts. E WITNESS: I mean, just from reading I would assume, but I'm not sure what I'm referring to there. ROTTENBORN: u're referring to a promise by Johnny to r in terms of his drug or alcohol use, VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts, speculation. E WITNESS: Well, it's 2014. Yeah, I would be me speculating again, so I don't ally recall because it's not clear; it's enough. ROTTENBORN: ay. Below that you say, he's teary. He vant to be a fuck-up anymore, his words. he tell you that he believed himself uck-up? VASQUEZ: Objection; hearsay. E WITNESS: I don't recall him saying e. Again, I'm reading it there, but I 132 all him saying those words to me, no. ROTTENBORN: ay. Would you have written them if he id those words to you?

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; argumentative, asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: I think -- you know, if I

ever had to -- you know, (indiscernible) to

appease, then maybe I would, yeah, it's possible.

BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

11 Q Is it your testimony today -- just so I'm 12 clear -- that Johnny didn't tell you that he 13 doesn't want to be a fuck-up anymore?

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the 15 prior testimony, hearsay, asked and answered.

16 THE WITNESS: I don't recall him saying 17 those words to me, no.

18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

19 Q Below that you say, we're going to set him 20 up with Dr. Kipper on Wednesday hopefully. He 21 won't be skipping it this time.

Do you see that?

PLANET DEPOS

A Yeah.

- 2 Q Dr. Kipper was the doctor that Johnny
- 3 hired to help him get off the use of certain
- 4 prescription drugs, correct?
- 5 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for
- 6 speculation.
- 7 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
- 8 Q Let me ask it differently.
- 9 Dr. Kipper was the doctor that Johnny 10 hired to help him deal with both drug and alcohol
- 11 abuse, correct?
- 12 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for
- 13 speculation, compound.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I didn't hire Dr. Kipper, so
- 15 I can't comment on exactly why he was hired.
- 16 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A I wasn't part of that conversation.
- 19 Q But the reason that you were telling Amber
- 20 the day after the plane flight that we're going to
- 21 set him up with Dr. Kipper was because you and
- 22 Amber and everyone knew that Johnny had a drug and
- 1 alcohol problem that he needed to deal with,
- 2 right?
- 3 MS. VASOUEZ: Objection; assumes facts,
- 4 asked and answered, calls for speculation.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Again, I mean, I'm
- 6 not a doctor so I can't comment, but Dr. Kipper
- 7 was brought in to see Johnny. I can't give you
- 8 details on the specifics because I don't know the
- 9 specifics.
- 10 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
- 11 O You say he won't be skipping it this time.
- 12 Had Johnny skipped prior meetings with
- 13 Dr. Kipper?
- 14 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for
- 15 speculation.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I don't recall off the top 17 of my head, but obviously I see the words in front
- 18 of me, so it's possible.
- 19 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you go to the next 20 page, please.
- 21 MS. VASQUEZ: Ben --
- 22 MR. ROTTENBORN: Yep.

- 1 MS. VASQUEZ: -- whenever you get a
 - 2 chance, it's 8 o'clock in the UK, past eight, so I
 - 3 think Mr. Deuters probably would appreciate some
 - 4 type of break to have some dinner.
 - 5 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
 - 6 Q Okay. Mr. Deuters, are you okay if we go
 - 7 for about five to ten more minutes?
 - 8 A No problem.
 - Q Thanks. At the top of that page you write
 - 10 to Amber, there feels like a sea change in him
 - 11 this morning. He just spoke about how bad he 12 feels, and he wasn't talking physically.
 - 13 Do you see that?
 - 14 A Yep.
 - 15 Q What did he tell you about how bad he 16 feels?
 - 17 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for
 - 18 speculation, assumes facts, hearsay.
 - 19 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. I mean, I 20 don't recall. This all reads like me just trying
 - 21 to smooth the air with her so she's not going to
 - 21 to smooth the air with her, so she's not going to
 - 22 be -- you know, behave like she behaves; that's
- 134
- 1 what it looks like to me.
- 2 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
- 3 Q What do you mean behave like she behaves?
- 4 A Well, for example, on the plane and, you
- 5 know, arguments and aggressive and for reasons I
- 6 don't understand or know. Appeasement and
- 7 placating was a common theme.
- 8 Q Is it your -- and just so I'm clear, is it
- 9 your testimony Johnny didn't tell you that he felt
- 10 bad for anything that happened on the plane or for
- 11 any other reason?
- 12 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; hearsay,
- 13 misstates prior testimony.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I do not recall him telling
- 15 me that he felt bad, no, I don't.
- 16 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
- 17 Q When you say below that, he's incredibly
- 18 apologetic and knows that he has done wrong, what
- 19 was he apologetic about?
- 20 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts,
- 21 hearsay.
- 22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

PLANET DEPOS

Conducted on February 24, 2022	
137	139
1 Q Or was that just something else that you	1 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
were saying to placate Amber?	2 Q Did you tell Johnny that he had kicked
A Yeah, I felt like if I had known, I might	3 Amber? And did he cry?
4 have said something for what it is. But I don't	4 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection.
5 ever say what it is because we don't know what it	5 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
6 is.	6 Q You're going to testify that he didn't,
Q Do you think do you did he express	7 right?
8 to you at any point that he knew that he had done	8 MS. VASQUEZ: Ben, are there three
9 wrong on that plane?	9 questions there? Which one would you like him to
10 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; hearsay, assumes	10 answer?
11 facts, vague.	11 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
12 THE WITNESS: No, not that I recall. To	12 Q Go ahead, Mr. Deuters.
13 the best of my knowledge, no.	13 MS. VASQUEZ: Argumentative.
14 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	14 Mr. Deuters, I don't even know if you
15 Q When you say, feels like we're at a	15 understand one of the three questions
16 critical juncture below that, what did you mean?	16 MR. ROTTENBORN: No speaking objections,
17 A I don't know. Seems out of context. I	17 Camille. Cut it out.
18 don't know.	18 MS. VASQUEZ: Okay. How about you ask a
19 Is that part of that same text thread?	19 proper question then.
20 Q Yeah.	That's argumentative, Mr. Deuters.
21 A Don't know. Don't know what that's	21 MR. ROTTENBORN: That's cross-examination,
22 referring to.	22 Camille. It's allowed to be argumentative.
138	140
MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. Can we go to the	1 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
2 next page, please. Actually, let's go to the	2 Q Mr. Deuters
3 bottom of the previous page.	3 MS. VASQUEZ: You're not allowed to harass
4 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	4 the witness, Mr. Rottenborn.
Q On ALH 17954 Ms. Heard writes, look, he	5 MR. ROTTENBORN: I'm not harassing anyone.
6 thinks he doesn't deserve this. Obviously he has	6 MS. VASQUEZ: Yes, you are. You have
7 no idea what he did or to the extent that he did	7 three questions pending.
8 it. If someone was truly honest with him about	8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
how bad it really was, he'd be appalled. The man	9 Q Let's do it this way, Mr. Deuters. Did
10 Johnny is would be humiliated and definitely	10 you tell you didn't tell Mr. Depp well,
11 wouldn't say to me that he doesn't deserve it.	11 let's did Mr. Depp cry when you told him he
12 I'm sad that he doesn't have a better way to	12 kicked Amber?
13 really know the severity of his actions yesterday.	13 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts not
4 Unfortunately for me, I remember in full detail	14 in evidence, hearsay.
5 everything that happened.	15 THE WITNESS: Not to the best of my
6 Do you see that?	16 knowledge, no.
7 A Yeah.	17 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
18 Q And then below that you write, it was	18 Q And I suppose your testimony today is
19 disgusting, and he knows it. He was appalled.	19 going to be that you didn't actually tell him he
20 When I told him he kicked you, he cried.	20 kicked Amber; is that right?
o whom I told lilling kicked you, he circu.	Lo money inition, is that ingit:

21

Those are your words, right?

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; argumentative.

21

22

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; argumentative.

THE WITNESS: Sorry. Can you rephrase the

Conducted on February 24, 2022	
141 1 question, Ben.	1 anaximontativia
1 question, Ben. 2 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	1 argumentative. 2 THE WITNESS: Yes.
	4 Q So it wasn't about the truth of what
5 A Not that I recall.	5 happened on that airplane; is that your testimony?
6 Q Is it possible you did?	6 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; argumentative.
7 A It was – it was a word that she was	7 THE WITNESS: It was about the truth of
8 using.	8 what happened on the airplane.
9 Q And I'll give you as long as you want.	9 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
10 You can go through this text chain. And the only	10 Q You just testified it was about
11 time the word "kicked" is used, I believe, is by	11 appeasement and placation?
12 you there.	12 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; argumentative,
13 So what do you mean it was a word she was	13 unintelligible.
14 using? That's your word, your text, right?	14 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
15 A That was in response to her on the plane.	15 Q The conduct that Mr. Depp exhibited on
16 Q Well, because Mr. Depp had kicked her,	16 that airplane was disgusting to you as you wrote
17 right?	17 in that text to Ms. Heard on May 25th, 2014,
18 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; argumentative,	18 correct?
19 asked and answered, assumes facts, misstates prior	19 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; asked and
20 testimony.	20 answered, assumes facts
21 THE WITNESS: No. That was in response to	21 THE WITNESS: No.
22 the attempted tap.	22 MS. VASQUEZ: misstates prior
142 1 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	1 testimony.
2 Q What you referred to earlier as a cheeky,	2 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
3 playful tap; is that right?	3 Q And your testimony today is that the only
4 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates prior	4 reason you used word "kicked" is because you had
	5 heard Amber use that word and you were just trying
	6 to appease or placate her; is that right?
7 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	7 A Yes.
8 Q What did you mean when you said it was	8 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates prior
9 disgusting?	9 testimony.
10 A I don't know. It's a strange word for me	10 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
11 to use.	11 Q Now, she says below, he's done this many
12 Q You were referring to Johnny's conduct on	12 times before. Tokyo, the island, London; remember
13 that airplane, right?	13 that? And I always stay. Always believe he's
14 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; argumentative,	14 going to get better. And then every three or so
15 assumes facts, vague.	15 months I'm in the exact same position.
16 THE WITNESS: No. I think it was just a	16 And you write, I know. It's hideous.
17 term used to again, it was all appeasement and	17 Do you see that?
18 all about placation.	18 A Yeah.
19 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	19 Q What was hideous that you were referring
20 Q All about appeasement and placation,	20 to?
21 that's your testimony?	21 A I have absolutely no idea.
	22 Q Above that you say, he's a lost little boy
22 MS. VASQUEZ: Asked and answered,	Above that you say, he's a lost little boy

147 1 and needs all the help he can get. He is so very THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, sir. Off record 2 3:23. 2 sorry, as he should be. 3 Do you see that? 3 (A luncheon recess was taken.) 4 A Yeah. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record 4:10. Q What did you mean by those statements? MR. ROTTENBORN: Catherine, can you, A I don't - I don't know what this is. He please, pull up the document that starts with Depp 7 read it to me and says it's the wrong text I sent. 8 I don't know what that is. THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Please Yeah, I don't know. I don't know, Ben. I 9 standby. 10 can't recall. I can't recall what they 10 Exhibit 12. 11 specifically refer to. I don't recall the (Exhibit No. 12 was marked for 11 12 incident in question. 12 identification.) 13 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you, please, go to MR. ROTTENBORN: If you can just go ahead 14 the bottom of ALH 17957. 14 and, yeah, blow that up, please. 15 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 15 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 16 O Amber says at the second-to-last text from 16 O Mr. Deuters, do you recognize this as an 17 the bottom, if he makes some steps to truly change 17 e-mail exchange between you and Amber on May 27th, 18 this time. He needs to do more than just one 18 2014? 19 doctor appointment. And if he does seem like he 19 A Well, I can obviously see the respective, 20 wants to change things, he'll know where to find 20 you know, information at the top. I'm just 21 me. 21 reading it now. 22 And he writes, all understood and agreed. O Sure. It looks like there's some - I 146 148 You wrote that to her, right, all 1 don't know -- somehow the production of this or 2 something led there to be some special characters 2 understood and agreed? 3 in the place of certain letters, but I think that A Yeah. O Did you agree with her statement that he you get --5 needs to do more than just one doctor appointment? 5 A Yeah, yeah. A No. I was just agree - I was just Q - the gist of it? agreeing to agree. That's what that is, it's just A Yeah, yeah. I mean, again, I don't 8 consistent with the rest of the text. 8 recall, Ben, these e-mails. O So you didn't believe that Johnny, for O Okay. Well, I'm going to ask you about 10 the middle e-mail, an e-mail from you, the one 10 example, needed to come to grips with his drug or 11 alcohol addiction by doing something more than 11 that says on 27 May 2014, at 10:39, Stephen 12 Deuters wrote, and you say in the end of the 12 just going to one doctor appointment? 13 first, start of the second line, you say, I stayed 13 A I suppose I have to say not my place to 14 say. 14 with him -- he was very upset again last night. I 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: All right. I think now 15 stayed with him again. Important thing is that he 16 behaved himself. These last few days have been --16 is probably a good time for a break if --17 I assume that means trial by fire for him in that MS. VASQUEZ: That's fine. We can go off 18 the record. 18 regard, okay. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry. 19 Do you see that? 20 Mr. Rottenborn, was there anything else before we A Yeah, I'm following you on the trial and

21 there's like an equal sign or something.

Q Yeah, I don't know what the cause of that

21 go off?

MR. ROTTENBORN: No, no. We can go off.

1 is, but we have seen a couple different versions O Why would you have written the Kipper 2 of this document, and both of them are like that. meeting was very promising if you had no idea what A Okav. happened in the Kipper meeting? Q My question for you is, what did you mean MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates prior 4 testimony, argumentative. 5 when you said, the important thing is that he 6 behaved himself? What did you mean by "behaved THE WITNESS: I think it's consistent with 6 7 himself"? my -- my back and forth, my narrative with Amber. BY MR. ROTTENBORN: A It would be speculation on my part. I 9 couldn't tell you, Ben. I don't know specifically O You continue in that narrative to say, 10 what I mean by it in that context there. 10 Saturday was a hideous blip. O Okay. Is it possible that you meant lay 11 Do you see that? 12 off the drugs or alcohol? 12 A Yeah, yeah. MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts, O Now, this is -- you'll recall that you 14 also use the word "hideous" in the text exchange 14 misstates prior testimony. 15 that we saw right before the break to describe the THE WITNESS: Yeah, that would be an 15 16 assumption for me to say so. I genuinely can't 16 plane flight, right? 17 recall in this particular thing. It's all a long MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts, 18 time ago. 18 misstates the prior document, misstates prior 19 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 19 testimony. O Okay. Later in that particular e-mail, 20 THE WITNESS: I saw it written there, yes, 21 bottom of the third line on the right-hand side, 21 but I don't recall the text, as I mentioned 22 you say -- well, actually let's just keep going. 22 before, but yeah. 152 150 1 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 1 You say, we're giving him all the support we can. O What did you mean when you said "Saturday 2 As I mentioned, the Kipper meeting was very 3 promising. Feeling like a strong bond will be 3 was a hideous blip" in this e-mail? A Again, Ben, I'm afraid, same answer, I 4 made there. 5 don't recall. I can't specifically say what I was What did you mean by "the Kipper meeting referring to. 6 was very promising"? O Okay. Now, many of your texts with Amber A I wouldn't have been in the meeting, so 8 on May 25th, 2014, were made public when Amber 8 I'd be speculating on that because anything that 9 obtained a restraining order against Mr. Depp in 9 is private, so maybe - yeah, again, I'm 10 speculating, Ben, so I don't know. I don't know 10 May of 2016, correct? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. I am going to 11 what that would be, apart from, you know, how it 12 caution the witness not to respond to that to the 12 reads. 13 extent that it calls for attorney-client 13 O Okay. Is it possible you meant the Kipper 14 meeting was very promising in terms of him 14 communications. So, Mr. Deuters, if you know that to be 15 abstaining from drugs and alcohol and being 16 the case, if you know the answer to that outside 16 treated by Dr. Kipper? 17 of conversations that you had with counsel, then MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts, 17 18 you can answer; otherwise, I will instruct you not 18 calls for speculation, asked and answered.

PLANET DEPOS

20

21 Camille.

22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

THE WITNESS: I think the problem is I

20 wouldn't have been in that meeting, so anything

21 would be hearsay.

22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll take your lead,

Conducted on February 24, 2022 155 Q Mr. Deuters, you became aware in early 1 press outlets and asked to comment on the texts, 2 June 2016 that the text messages between you and 2 correct? 3 Amber in which you say that Johnny had kicked MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the 4 Amber became public, right? You became aware of 4 testimony, assumes facts, assume -- and lack of 5 that well outside of any communications with foundation. 6 counsel correct? THE WITNESS: I mean, I'm seeing that in 7 front of me, Ben, but I don't recall, and I A Right, with regard - yes, seeing them in 8 the public sphere, correct. 8 certainly didn't respond. Q Right. And that was a problem for Johnny 9 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 10 at the time because they state very clearly, those O Okay. You'd agree that this is a text 11 text messages, that he had kicked Amber, correct? 11 message that you received, correct? MS. VASOUEZ: Objection; vague, ambiguous. 12 MR. ROTTENBORN: If you can scroll over to 13 assumes facts, speculation. 13 the left so he can see. 14 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague as to O You can answer. 15 received. 16 A That's what the text incorrectly state. 16 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I see -- you know, I Q And you were contacted by press outlets 17 17 see, you know. 18 about these texts and asked to comment, right? 18 MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. Can you pull up --MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts. 19 THE WITNESS: That's not my number. Or is 20 THE WITNESS: I was not. 20 that --21 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's pull up the 21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 22 document entitled Deuters 2853. Q Right. I assume that's the number of the 154 156 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Exhibit 13. 1 person who's -- who sent you that message, 2 correct? (Exhibit No. 13 was marked for 3 identification.) 3 A Well, I wouldn't know. Q That is your e-mail, right, MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you. Can you, please, scroll to the sixth text message down. stephendeuters@mac.com? Right there. 6 A Yes. 6 Right there. MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. Can you pull up 8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 8 Deuters 2887, please. THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Exhibit 14. Q Mr. Deuters, this -- I'll represent to you 10 that this document entitled Deuters 2853 came from 10 (Exhibit No. 14 was marked for 11 your document production in the case, which we'll 11 identification.) 12 talk about in a bit. 12 MR. ROTTENBORN: If you can go to the 13 third text up from the bottom, please. Do you see the text that says, hi, 14 Stephen. We have obtained some text messages that 14 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 15 involve you, Johnny, and Amber. I just wanted to Q Do you remember being contacted by Whitney 16 check with you to see if you have a comment or 16 Wallace, with Entertainment Tonight, for comment 17 on the texts? 17 statement about the text messages. Thank you, 18 Whitney? 18 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts. THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't recall 19 Do you see that? 19 20 A I see that. 20 it, no, not at all.

21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

Q Do you see the third text up?

Q Does -- so you did receive comments from

22 press outlets about -- or you were contacted by

Conducted on February 24, 2022	
1 A Yeah, yeah. 2 Q It says, hi, Stephen. This is Whitney 3 Wallace, with Entertainment Tonight. There is 4 something we need to talk about. This is my cell. 5 Thank you, Whitney. And then there's another 6 message right underneath it that says, hi, 7 Stephen. We have obtained some text messages that	them that the texts were heavily doctored, and that you never told Amber that Johnny had admitted to attacking her, right? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; asked and answered, argumentative, assumes facts. THE WITNESS: I never spoke with TMZ. BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
8 involve you, Johnny, and Amber. I just wanted to 9 check with you to see if you have a comment or 10 statement about the text messages. Thank you, 11 comma, Whitney? 12 Do you see that? 13 A Is that the same — looks like that's the	 Q And did you tell TMZ that the texts are suspicious because they didn't have a date? MS. VASQUEZ: Same objections. THE WITNESS: I never spoke with TMZ. MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you pull up exhibit and I'll move the last exhibit since
14 same one as before, no? Same person? 15 Q It appears to be. 16 A Yeah, I don't recall; and I sadly didn't 17 respond. 18 Q Is that your text message or I'm 19 sorry is that your cell phone number to the 20 left of that message? 21 A I can't see it, sorry oh, there it is, 22 yeah.	14 the break into evidence. 15 Can you, please, pull up the exhibit 16 entitled TMZ article. 17 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Exhibit 15. 18 (Exhibit No. 15 was marked for 19 identification.) 20 MR. ROTTENBORN: If you can just blow up 21 the date right there underneath the headline. 22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
1 Q And below that is at least a few links 2 to — well, strike that. 3 Did you ever have an attorney provide you 4 legal advice relating to those texts? 5 A No, not — no. I think I would have 6 recalled that. 7 Q And if you had, that's something you would 8 recall, correct?	1 Q Mr. Deuters, do you recognize this as an 2 article that TMZ published on June 2nd, 2016? 3 MS. VASQUEZ: Mr. Deuters, it might help 4 if you look at the full document. 5 THE WITNESS: I mean, it looks like a 6 formatted document. It appears to be a picture of 7 me. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: The question calls for
9 A Yeah, I would think so. 10 MS. VASQUEZ: I'm going to interpose a 11 late objection. 12 MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. 13 MS. VASQUEZ: Yeah, vague and ambiguous as 14 to having an attorney it's vague and ambiguous 15 as to time. 16 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	9 speculation. 10 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 11 Q That's a picture of you with Mr. Depp 12 behind you? 13 A Yeah. 14 Q Do you know when that was taken? 15 A Looks quite old. 16 Q Okay.
17 Q You told TMZ that these texts between you 18 and Amber were doctored, right? 19 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts. 20 THE WITNESS: I never spoke with TMZ, Ben. 21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	 17 A It's – 18 Q Sorry, go ahead. 19 A No. Just going to say it looks quite 20 considerably older than the date of the article. 21 Q Can you go to the next page, please.

Q But you did speak with TMZ, and you told

Again, and you see this in the middle of

Conducted on February 24, 2022 163 1 the page, there's a representation of a couple --1 TMZ or Entertainment Tonight or any press outlet? 2 of part of the text exchange between you and Amber A I'm afraid I did not. 3 that we looked at earlier, right? Q Did you make a statement that the text MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes -themselves are suspicious because they don't even 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah. show a date? 6 MS. VASQUEZ: -- facts, vague. MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; asked and 7 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: answered for the sixth time, argumentative, Q And ET, you recognize that to be the logo harassment. 9 for Entertainment Tonight, correct? 9 THE WITNESS: No. 10 MS. VASQUEZ: Calls for speculation. 10 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know what their logo Q Did you make a statement to Entertainment 12 is, to be honest. 12 Tonight, TMZ, or any other press outlet or 13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 13 journalist that you will testify under oath you Q Entertainment Tonight was the same outlet 14 never had a conversation about alleged violence 15 that had a journalist contact you just the day 15 with Amber? 16 before that we just looked at, right? MS. VASQUEZ: Same objections, asked and A The one that said she had obtained my 17 17 answered maybe the seventh time. I've lost count. 18 texts? THE WITNESS: I never had any 19 Q Yes. 19 conversations with TMZ or any other press outlet, 20 A Okay. 20 no. Q And at the top of this page it says, 21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 22 Johnny Depp's assistant, Stephen Deuters, tells Q Did anyone on your behalf have any 162 1 TMZ the texts that were posted in which he 1 conversation with TMZ or any other press outlet 2 allegedly apologized to Amber Heard for Johnny's about any of the substance of this article? 3 violent behavior are heavily doctored, and he MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for gross 3 speculation. 4 never said Johnny attacked her. Is it your testimony that you never told THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge, 6 that to TMZ? 6 certainly not. MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; asked and 7 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 8 answered for the third time. O And as you sit here today, you're not 9 claiming in any way that the text messages between THE WITNESS: Yeah, I never spoke to TMZ. 10 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 10 you and Amber were doctored in any manner, Q Did you speak with any journalist or press 11 correct? 12 outlet and convey that message to them that TMZ is MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 12 13 speculation, calls for a legal conclusion. 13 reporting?

14 A No, I didn't speak with anyone.

15 Q Below it says, Deuters says he knows of no 16 acts of abuse toward Amber at the hands of Johnny 17 and has never made such a claim to anyone. He 18 adds, Johnny has never been violent toward anyone 19 he knows.

20 Do you see that?

21 A I see that, yes.

22 Q Did you make a statement to that effect to

16 they weren't. 17 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

18 Q You have no basis to believe that the text 19 messages were doctored in any way, correct?

15 can't honestly say whether they were or whether

THE WITNESS: I never found the text, so I

20 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; asked and

21 answered, argumentative.

22 And, Mr. Deuters, I'm going to caution

PLANET DEPOS

1 you actually, I'm going to instruct you not to	which I am not asking about, do you have any basis
2 answer on the basis of attorney-client privilege.	2 to believe that the texts that we looked at
3 MR. ROTTENBORN: Scott, can you read back	
4 the question, please.	4 MS. VASQUEZ: Mr. Deuters, because you
5 (The reporter read back as requested.)	5 can't answer that question without violating the
6 MS. VASQUEZ: Same instruction.	6 attorney-client privilege, I am going to, again,
7 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	instruct you not to answer.
8 Q Other than anything you have learned from	8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
9 your attorneys or communications you've had with	9 Q Are you following your attorney's
10 your attorneys, you have no basis to believe that	10 instruction, Mr. Deuters?
11 these text messages were doctored in any way,	11 A Yes.
12 correct, Mr. Deuters?	12 Q Did you ever ask TMZ to retract the
13 MS. VASQUEZ: Same instruction, same	13 statements that they made in that article that
14 objection.	14 were attributed to you?
15 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	15 A No. I've never had any contact with them
16 Q Mr. Deuters, are you refusing to answer	16 whatsoever, so either way.
17 the question on the basis of your attorney's	17 Q Were you comfortable with the fact that
18 instruction?	18 apparently TMZ published an article that had all
	19 sorts of alleged falsehoods about things that you
19 MS. VASQUEZ: On the basis of	
20 attorney-client privilege, yes, he is.	20 now claim you didn't say? Were you did that
21 MR. ROTTENBORN: You're not the witness,	21 make you uncomfortable?
22 Camille. I asked him a question if he is refusing	22 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; argumentative,
1 to answer. You're not testifying here.	1 vague.
2 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	2 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not sure what to
3 Q Mr. Deuters, are you re	3 say. I wasn't paying much attention to that side
4 MS. VASQUEZ: He is not answering your	4 of things, to be quite honest. I don't recall my
5 question that invades the attorney-client	5 feelings at the time.
6 privilege, Mr. Rottenborn.	6 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
7 MR. ROTTENBORN: There's nothing that	7 Q Did you did you have any conversations
8 invades the attorney-client privilege, but I'm	8 with any of Johnny's representatives stating that
9 asking him if he is declining to answer.	9 you were uncomfortable, that you had been
10 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	10 allegedly misquoted by TMZ?
11 Q Mr. Deuters, are you taking your counsel's	11 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates prior
12 advice and not answering my question?	12 testimony.
13 A I am taking counsel advice.	13 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. I
14 Q Okay. I'll note we will very likely be	14 don't remember having conversations with his team,
15 going to court on this and have you come back for	15 with his lawyer team, no.
16 more time, Mr. Deuters, because that's a wildly	16 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
17 inappropriate instruction by your counsel. I made	17 Q Did you ever have any conversations with
18 clear I was not asking for attorney-client	18 Johnny's legal team at the time about these text
19 communications.	19 messages?
20 So other than let me ask it again; I'll	20 MS. VASQUEZ: Asked and answered.
21 give you another chance.	21 THE WITNESS: I can't remember who the
21 give you another chance.	22 legal team were. No not to my knowledge. I

22 legal team were. No, not to my knowledge. I

Other than attorney-client communications,

Conducted on February 24, 2022	
169	171
1 can't remember, sir.	1 put it that way in Australia in March of 2015
2 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can we pull up Exhibit 1,	2 between Amber and Johnny?
3 Catherine, please.	3 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; speculation,
4 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Standby.	4 vague, ambiguous.
5 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you go to page 793	5 THE WITNESS: Yes. Not sure quite what
6 and 794.	6 you mean by "troublesome," Ben. I remember
7 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	7 working in Australia at that time, working on a
8 Q And in the UK trial, were you asked these	8 film.
9 questions and did you give this answer,	9 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
10 Mr. Deuters? This is at line 22 of page 793: How	10 Q Sure. And did you did you the film
11 did they come to say that you said it is my fault.	11 was Pirates 5, right?
12 I thought you had said somebody asked you about	12 A Yeah.
13 the texts?	13 Q Did you travel to Australia in early March
14 Answer: It was Johnny's counsel at the	14 of 2015?
15 time.	15 A Yeah, I don't remember the exact date, but
16 Question: A lawyer?	16 if it was late February or early March, in that
17 Answer: A lawyer or somebody who worked	17 bracket.
18 with the lawyers. I guess his divorce lawyers or	18 Q Leading up to that period, had you
19 his divorce team, and they asked me about the	19 well, strike that.
20 texts because they had come out. And they said,	MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you pull up Deuters
21 are these real, and I said yes. And they said,	21 2884, please, which we'll mark as the next
22 can you say any more about them? And I said,	22 exhibit.
170	172
1 well, they are taken out of context, you know.	1 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Please
2 What I meant by that is really just the bigger	2 standby.
3 picture. I never spoke to TMZ and I never said to	3 Exhibit 16.
4 anyone, even to counsel, that they were doctored.	4 (Exhibit No. 16 was marked for
5 Did I read that right?	5 identification.)
6 A Yes.	6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you just blow up the
7 Q Did you tell Johnny's legal team that the	7 second text, please, just that row.
8 texts were real in June of 2016?	8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
9 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; asked and	9 Q Mr. Deuters, do you recognize this as a
10 answered.	10 text sent from you on March 1st, 2015, to - is CD
11 THE WITNESS: I mean, yeah, I'm reading	11 Christi Dembrowski?
12 that back, but I can't at this stage, at this	12 A Sorry. I can't see it. It's a bit small.
13 moment right here, right now, I don't recall that	13 MR. ROTTENBORN: We can blow it up all the
14 conversation. I recall never having spoke to TMZ,	14 way in the left.
	15 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
15 that is very clear; that's my abiding memory of	
16 the situation.	16 Q In the left-hand column, CD is that's 17 Christi Dembrowski, right?
17 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	
18 Q Do you recall a	18 A Yeah.
19 MR. ROTTENBORN: You can take that exhibit	
20 down, please.	20 to her on March 1st, 2015; is that right?
21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	21 A Yeah, it's entirely possible, yeah. I
22 Q Do you recall a troublesome period I'll	22 recall - I know what that means in the Geoffrey.

175

1 I actually remember that, yep. 1 facts. Q Okay. You know, I was going to ask you THE WITNESS: I mean, not sure what I'd 2 3 just a few questions about this. You said, such a 3 say about that. I mean, it's not really for me to 4 great afternoon with him today. SG might just 4 say. I just preferred it when we were working as 5 have got through. 5 opposed to not working. They weren't working 6 Who is SG? 6 together, so it would have meant we wouldn't have A I don't know who SG is. I don't know who 7 been working, so I think I'd probably lean towards 8 SG is. Q Okay. And you say, we sat with Geoffrey 9 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 10 for over five hugely productive hours. O Marilyn Manson was someone that would --Who is Geoffrey? 11 when Marilyn and Johnny got together, your 12 A That's referring to Geoffrey Rush, the 12 understanding was that Johnny would use drugs with 13 actor. 13 Marilyn Manson, correct? 14 Q Okay. Who played with him in Pirates 5? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, calls for 15 A Correct. 15 speculation. 16 Q Okay. And you said, he was certainly the 16 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I couldn't say that 17 man I recognized. 17 that was my inference. 18 When you say that, you were referring to 18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 19 Johnny being the man you recognized, right? Q Do you have any personal knowledge of A Or Geoffrey. I don't know. 20 Johnny doing drugs with Marilyn Manson? Q Okay. And you said, I even got to cancel A It's not something I witnessed, no. 21 22 Manson for coming back since he didn't want it to Q Did you ever hear from Johnny that he did 1 interfere with work or Amber. 1 drugs with Marilyn Manson? MS. VASQUEZ: Calls for hearsay. Is that referring to canceling an event where Depp would hang out with Marilyn Manson? THE WITNESS: He didn't really speak like MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 4 that. So even if it had occurred, it wouldn't be 5 speculation. 5 relayed, so no, no. I don't recall it, no. THE WITNESS: Yeah, without researching MR. ROTTENBORN: Can we go to -- we're 7 further, I don't know. But, I mean, Manson, with going to come back to this document, but can we 8 a capital M, must mean something. Maybe he was 8 mark as the next exhibit Depp 11297. 9 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Exhibit 17. 9 touring at the time or something. 10 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 10 (Exhibit No. 17 was marked for 11 Q Johnny often hung out with Marilyn Manson, 11 identification.) 12 right? 12 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you. 13 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, calls for 13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 14 speculation. Q So the third text down, this is a text THE WITNESS: They did have the occasional 15 from Johnny to you on March 6, 2015, right? 16 meet, yes, but not very often. He wasn't someone A Starting with "honestly"?

> A Without checking my calendar, I think so, PLANET DEPOS

Q Yes.

A Yep, I see it. I'm just reading it.

21 was in Australia to film Pirates 5, right?

Q And Johnny was -- on March 6, 2015, Johnny

17

18

19

20

17 that I saw much of.

18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

21 influence on Johnny, right?

19 Q Okay. And it was -- you believed that

20 Marilyn Manson was, let's just say, not a good

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, assumes

March 6, 2015, correct? A Yes. Q Do you still stand by those words today and have those same feelings toward him? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; compound. THE WITNESS: Sorry, Ben. What was the question?
A Yes. Q Do you still stand by those words today and have those same feelings toward him? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; compound. THE WITNESS: Sorry, Ben. What was the question?
Q Do you still stand by those words today and have those same feelings toward him? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; compound. THE WITNESS: Sorry, Ben. What was the question?
and have those same feelings toward him? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; compound. THE WITNESS: Sorry, Ben. What was the question?
MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; compound. THE WITNESS: Sorry, Ben. What was the question?
THE WITNESS: Sorry, Ben. What was the question?
question?
A
BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
Q Do you still have those same feelings and
0 sentiments toward Mr. Depp today?
1 A Certainly.
2 MR. ROTTENBORN: Catherine, if you can,
3 please, go back to Deuters 2884. Thank you.
4 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
5 Q Now, at some point you became aware of an
6 argument or an altercation that Mr. Depp had with
7 Ms. Heard while in Australia, correct?
8 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, assumes
9 facts, and calls for speculation.
0 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not quite sure.
1 At one point I became aware of something
2 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
180
remember about that particular incident, if
anything.
MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for a
narrative, assumes facts, hearsay, and vague.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, because I wasn't I
didn't stay at the house. And, I mean, I have to
go back to the memory banks.
Johnny came to the hotel. He came to the
0 hotel. He was brought by, I think, his security
1 guys. Came to the room I mean, it was clear
2 that he cut himself, but he didn't tell us. He
3 was quite reticent to say much. I think we
4 then it was probably around then that we realized
5 that a serious injury had occurred, but we didn't
6 know how.
7 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
8 Q You're referring to the tip of his finger
9 being severed?
0 A Correct, yeah.
1 Q Okay. And you don't have any personal

Conducted on February 24, 2022 181 183 A I did not witness it. No, I was not 1 that text message, you write, so Kipper is now 2 there. 2 talking to JD, hoping to get through to him and Q And Ms. Heard never said anything to you 3 explain to him that, quote, this period needs to 4 about how that had happened, correct? end now before we get into real trouble. A No, I don't recall her saving anything 5 What did you mean by that? 6 directly to me about it. A Again, going back that far, I couldn't Q And what, if anything, did Mr. Depp tell 7 honestly say exactly what I meant by that. 8 you about how it had happened? Q Did you mean -- when you were in this 9 period, did you mean this period of drug and A Well, he didn't say - he didn't say 10 alcohol abuse by Johnny? 10 anything -11 Q Okay. MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; asked and 12 A - to begin with because I didn't think he answered. 13 wanted to - I don't know. Maybe he just didn't THE WITNESS: I might have just meant the 14 want anyone to know. I can't speculate. 14 film. I don't know. Australia. I don't know. MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's take a look at the 15 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 16 third text down. This is a text from you to --16 Q You wrote below that, the good news thus 17 again, to Christi Dembrowski, right? You say hi, 17 far is that JD did not cancel this meeting and 18 C. 18 that he is engaging in conversation. 19 THE WITNESS: Sorry. Can you make it 19 Do you see that? 20 bigger? 20 A Yes. Yes. 21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q Was the -- was that good news because that 21 22 Johnny didn't cancel a meeting with Dr. Kipper O Yeah, 182 184 A Yeah, I see it. 1 because he often would cancel meetings with O And you say, I'm at the house with Kipper 2 Dr. Kipper? 3 and Debbie, who are speaking with JD and Amber MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for speculation, assumes facts. 4 respectively, separately. Obviously things have 5 not calmed over the last day or so. THE WITNESS: Yeah, again, I can't -- I 6 Do you see that? 6 can't answer that specifically. I don't -- I 7 mean, if Kipper was there, that's -- he didn't A Yes. 8 counsel -- I don't know. I don't know. I Q Were you present for any conversations 9 that Dr. Kipper or Debbie had with Johnny or 9 couldn't tell you, Ben. 10 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 10 Amber ---O Okay. What did you mean by good news? MS. VASOUEZ: Objection; vague. 12 What was that? You don't recall? 12 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: A I'm trying to. I don't know. Just the 13 Q -- on or around that time? MS. VASOUEZ: Same objection. 14 fact obviously he was at the meeting. It seems to 14 15 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't have been 15 relate to that. 16 directly involved in any conversations that they Q And it would be good news for Johnny to 17 take a meeting with Dr. Kipper because Johnny was 17 would have had directly with them. If I said I 18 a drug and alcohol addict, right? 18 was in the house, I would have been like

PLANET DEPOS

21 argumentative.

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection, calls for 20 speculation, assumes facts, lack of foundation,

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I can't comment on

19 downstairs or another room or outside or

Q Okay. So you write about halfway through

20 something.

21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

187 1 whether he was a drug and alcohol addict, not 1 answered. 2 being a addict myself. THE WITNESS: Yeah, it would be purely 3 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 3 speculative of me to say that, yeah. 4 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q It was good news because whether or not he 5 was medically diagnosed as having a drug or O Okay. Now, you and Christi had some communications about what the messaging should be 6 alcohol addiction, you and everyone around him, to 7 your knowledge, believed that Johnny drank too about how Johnny hurt his finger, right? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; hearsay. much and used drugs too much, correct? THE WITNESS: I do, I do recall having a MS. VASOUEZ: Objection; calls for 10 speculation, assumes facts not in evidence, 10 text or two with Christi because we were concerned 11 about -- well, I think it's because we didn't know 11 argumentative, misstates prior testimony. THE WITNESS: Yeah, again, you know, not 12 what had happened maybe initially. 12 13 being specifically aware of the exact criteria 13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 14 needed to say what a drug and alcohol addict is, I O And you wanted to control the message to 15 couldn't comment. It's beyond my pay grade. 15 protect Johnny's reputation, right? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts, 16 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 17 O Okay. In the text below you say that JD 17 argumentative, vague. 18 is agreeing to all that Kipper is requesting he do 18 THE WITNESS: We just didn't want the 19 in order to turn himself around. 19 wrong information to get out when we didn't know, 20 I suppose, or we didn't have the full picture, we Do you see that? 20 21 21 didn't know where we stood or exactly what had A Yes. 22 O And then you say, of course we've heard 22 gone down, so probably just -- yeah, just wanted 186 1 that before, so we'll see. 1 to try and control it initially just before 2 figuring it all out. Do you see that? 3 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: A I lost that. I've lost it. Where is it? O Right. Even if that -- even if that meant 4 Where is it? All right. Yeah, I see. 5 advancing a false story or false narrative about Q And what did you mean when you said, in 6 order to turn himself around, of course we've how he hurt his finger, right? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates prior 7 heard that before, so we'll see? What do you mean 7 8 by that? testimony, assumes facts, argumentative. THE WITNESS: Yeah, advancing a false A Again, again, it's seven years ago; I 10 don't recall these texts. I don't recall the 10 narrative is a bit strong. I wouldn't say it like 11 specifics of them apart from as, you know, face 11 that. 12 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 12 value. Q Okay. Did you -- you and Christi O By turn himself around, you meant to end 14 his drug and alcohol addiction. And by of course 14 brainstormed about what to say to people about how 15 he hurt his hand, right? 15 we've heard that before, so we'll see, you meant 16 he said he was going to go sober before and he MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. 16 17 hasn't followed through, so we'll see what THE WITNESS: Sorry. 17 MS. VASQUEZ: Sorry. Objection; vague, 18 18 happens?

PLANET DEPOS

20

19

20

That's what you meant, isn't it?

22 foundation, calls for speculation, asked and

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the 21 document, assumes facts not in evidence, lack of 19 ambiguous, hearsay, assumes facts.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall the specifics

21 of how, but I do recall having a conversation with

22 Christi about delivering some information to

Conducted on F	ebruary 24, 2022
189	191
1 production initially before we knew what happened.	1 say you aren't sure how he hurt his hand.
2 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	2 Do you see that?
3 Q Okay. If you look at the text below what	3 A I do.
4 we just looked at, it starts with, bandage makes	4 Q What did you understand her to be asking
5 it look worse; do you see that?	5 you to refrain from doing?
6 A Yeah.	6 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for
7 Q You say, I'll speak to Joe and Kenny to	7 speculation.
8 let them know that we will have to figure	8 THE WITNESS: My I mean, my assumption
9 something house [sic] hand-wise, although we did	9 would be speaking to anyone with production
10 speak about Captain Jack having an injury, so it	10 before I mean, I don't know what the date I
11 could be something. We'll have a brainstorm on	11 can't remember the date specifically in question,
12 it.	12 but maybe that was the first day so we didn't know
13 Do you see that?	13 what had happened yet.
14 A Yeah.	14 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
15 Q Who are Joe and Kenny?	15 Q She was asking I'm sorry.
16 A Kenny is one of the - well, actually I	16 A That's what I would take that to mean.
17 don't know. No. Kenny may be one of the makeup	17 Q And she was asking you to claim ignorance
18 artists. I'm not sure who Joe is.	18 if anyone asks you how Johnny had hurt his hand,
19 Q Okay. And when you said I'm sorry. Go	19 right?
20 ahead.	20 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the
21 A I just said that they might have been	21 document, calls for speculation, asked and
22 people in the film. Probably just to let them	22 answered.
190	192
1 know that, yeah, it was an issue.	1 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I couldn't say any
2 Q Okay. And when you said, we'll have a	2 more on that.
3 brainstorm on it, again that was to figure out	3 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
4 what to tell people about how he hurt his hand,	4 Q If you go to the text below that, this is
5 right?	5 a text from Johnny to you on March 9th as well,
6 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates prior	6 correct?
7 testimony, assumes facts.	7 A Same date?
8 THE WITNESS: Yeah, that would be	8 Q Yep. Do you see about five lines down
9 speculation.	9 where he says, thank you, by the way, for cleaning
10 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you bring up Depp	10 my violent marriage?
11 11300, please.	11 A Clean – yeah.
12 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Exhibit 18.	12 Q What did you understand him to be saying
13 (Exhibit No. 18 was marked for	13 there?
14 identification.)	14 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for
15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you.	15 speculation.
16 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	16 THE WITNESS: I don't know what he means
17 Q If you go to the third text down,	17 by that. I don't know
18 Mr. Deuters, there's just a one-liner. It appears	18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
19 to be a text from Christi Dembrowski to you on	19 Q I'm sorry. Go ahead.
20 March 9th of 2015; do you see that?	20 A I don't know what he means by that.
21 A Yeah, third one down, yeah.	21 Sorry, Ben.
22 Q And she says to you, just make sure you	22 Q Do you know do you have any reason to

Conducted on February 24, 2022	
193	195
1 believe that his marriage to Amber was violent at	1 Q What do you mean "against his neck"?
2 that time?	2 A I can't remember what he said. It was
3 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, ambiguous,	3 like a knife or a hand or something like this,
4 assumes facts.	4 attack him during the night basically, something
5 THE WITNESS: No.	5 like this. I wish could remember it more
6 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	6 specifically, but I remember where we were sat.
7 Q Okay. Did he ever tell you anything about	7 Q When did you have this conversation?
8 there being violence in his marriage?	8 A Sorry. Where or when?
9 MS. VASQUEZ: Vague as to time.	9 Q When?
10 THE WITNESS: Sorry. I missed that.	10 A I don't remember when, that's what I wish
11 Sorry, Camille.	11 I could remember.
12 MS. VASQUEZ: Just said it's vague as to	12 Q Do you remember what year?
13 time.	13 A It would have been 2000 - my guess it
14 THE WITNESS: No, he didn't speak about	14 would have been like '13 or '14. I remember
15 it. He sometimes would suggest that she was. But	15 where. I remember exactly where we were at.
16 the details were never there, and the specifics	16 Q And where was that?
17 elude me.	17 A It was in his old offices on Sweetzer,
18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	18 8300 Sweetzer in his office.
19 Q Okay. Well, since you brought that up, I	19 Q And did he ever admit to you to being
20 need to ask you questions about it.	20 violent to Amber?
21 What, if anything, more specifically than	21 A No, he did not.
22 the statement you just said did he tell you about	22 Q Did he ever tell you that — whether Amber
194	196
1 any alleged violence by Amber, if there's anything	1 had ever defended herself against violence by him?
2 that you can recall?	2 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts not
3 A The only thing I can recall is he once	3 in evidence, misstates the prior testimony.
4 made a comment that he was genuinely scared she	4 MR. ROTTENBORN: There's plenty of
5 might attack him during the night. The specific	5 evidence, Camille.
6 date, I can't recall, but I remember him saying	6 MS. VASQUEZ: No, there isn't. Your
7 that to me once.	7 client's word against how many police officers and
8 Q Where were you having this conversation?	8 other people?
9 A Actually I do - I can't remember the	9 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
10 date. We were in his office, and he was showing	10 Q Did he ever did he ever tell you that
11 me – it was in conjunction with he showed me a	11 Ms. Heard had defended herself against violence by
12 clump of hair that had pulled out of his head	12 him?
13 because he was a bit said. We were trying to work	
14 on something. I could tell he wasn't focused.	14 THE WITNESS: No, no.
15 And then he suddenly pulled a bit of hair out of	15 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
16 his head, and he said – he said something like	16 Q Is there anything else you can recall, any
17 that was 2 a.m. or something like this, and then	17 other conversations that you had with Johnny
18 he made the comment about the neck. I wish I	18 relating to any sort of violence from either
19 could —	19 spouse in their marriage?
20 Q Made the comment about the what?	20 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the
ZU V ITIGUE ALE COLLINICAL ADOUT THE THIRT.	TIES TIES COLOR COJORION INDOMESO MIC

22

21 A About – worried that he'd wake up one day

22 with her against his neck.

21 prior testimony.

THE WITNESS: No.

Conducted on February 24, 2022 1 But, yeah, it would be speculation on my behalf. MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you pull up Deuters 2 2922, please. MR. ROTTENBORN: You can take that off. 3 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Exhibit 19. 3 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for Q Now, Johnny would often speak or write in 5 identification.) degrading terms about women, correct? BY MR. ROTTENBORN: MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; argumentative, Q And can you look at the top text, please. vague and ambiguous. 8 This is a text from you to Amber on or THE WITNESS: No, I wouldn't say that was 9 about April 13th, 2015, correct? 9 fair A Again, I see the details up there. Just 10 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 11 reading the text now. 11 O You've heard him speak in degrading terms 12 Q Okay. 12 about women, right? MS. VASQUEZ: Asked and answered, 13 A Okay. 13 Q And you write to Amber, there was a 14 14 argumentative. THE WITNESS: I don't -- I can't recall 15 notable sea change in his brain mid last week. 16 Really hope it's one that lasts. 16 right here, right now, no. 17 Do you see that? 17 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 18 A Yeah. 18 O You've heard him speak in degrading terms 19 19 about Amber, right? And when I "speak," I mean Q What were you referring to there? 20 either speak or he sent you texts with degrading A The date? I'm not sure. I'm not sure 21 where were we. We were in Los Angeles? Were we 21 terms about Amber, right? 22 back in Australia? Maybe it was back - we were 22 MS. VASQUEZ: Same objections. 198 200 1 going back to work. THE WITNESS: I mean, I've seen the texts Q Is it possible you were referring to --2 in the transcripts and such, if that's what you're 3 when you say "sea change," a commitment from referring to. 4 Johnny to get his drug and alcohol use under 4 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 5 control? Q It wasn't -- you -- you know, I'm going 6 to - maybe I'll get another vague and ambiguous MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the document, assumes facts, calls for speculation. objection, but I don't think that there's any THE WITNESS: Yeah, again, I'd be 8 ambiguity about the propriety -- the impropriety 9 of certain language that he used. And I'll say, 9 speculating if that was the case. It could mean 10 going back to the filming, it could mean getting 10 you know, we're going to see some things, and they 11 say some things that I don't think there can be 11 back on track in that respect, it could be many 12 things. I'm trying to think of where we were on 12 any argument are degrading. 13 that date, if we were back to filming already. But with that question, Mr. Deuters, have 14 you heard or seen Mr. Depp call Amber a cunt? 14 I'm not sure. 15 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 15 A I definitely have not seen or heard it. 16 Q And you said it could mean getting back to 16 No. I have not. Q Have you ever called Amber a cunt? 17 filming. 18 A I regrettably did refer, use that term in 18 Could it also mean getting clean from

PLANET DEPOS

19 drugs and alcohol?

22

21 speculation, asked and answered.

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for

THE WITNESS: I mean, it's conceivable.

19 a text once in third-person reference.

21 to Amber, correct?

Q You used that term more than once to refer

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; argumentative.

Conducted on February 24, 2022	
201	203
THE WITNESS: If I did, I don't recall	1 may have been referring to with that terminology,
2 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	2 correct?
Q All right. Well, let's take a look at some documents here.	3 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for
	4 speculation, asked and answered.
7 1 1 11	5 THE WITNESS: I can't. No, I can't. It
6 11275, please. 7 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Standby.	6 would be pure speculation.
8 Exhibit 20.	7 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you, please, pull up 8 Depp 11244.
9 (Exhibit No. 20 was marked for	9 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Exhibit 21.
10 identification.)	10 (Exhibit No. 21 was marked for
11 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you go to the second	11 identification.)
12 text from the bottom, please.	12 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you go to the second
13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	13 text down, please.
14 Q This is a text message from Mr. Depp to	The second text from the top, I'm sorry.
15 you on August 7th, 2014, correct?	15 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
16 A I see the information in front of me,	16 Q And, Mr. Deuters, can you just read the
17 correct.	17 text, the language in that text, please?
18 MS. VASQUEZ: It assumes facts.	18 A Sorry. Which one? Second one?
19 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	19 Q The second one down.
20 Q Can you read that text from Mr. Depp to	20 A Will do. I'll smack the ugly cunt around
21 you on August 7th, 2014?	21 before I let her in. Don't worry.
22 A Is that to me, Ben?	22 Q Do you know who Marino is in the recipient
202	204
1 Q Yes, please, read it out loud.	1 line?
2 A She has accused - she has accused me of	2 A No, I don't.
3 boozing. I told her no. She's a cunt. I guess	3 MR. ROTTENBORN: Could you pull up Deuters
4 I'll go back to 80 or to island alone.	4 2853, please. This has been marked before, as has
5 Q And Johnny's referring to Amber in that	5 been the last one.
6 text, correct?	6 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Exhibit 22.
7 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for	7 (Exhibit No. 22 was marked for
8 speculation.	8 identification.)
9 THE WITNESS: I don't recall receiving the	9 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you the fifth text
10 text, so I couldn't I couldn't say for certain.	10 up from the bottom, please. It's kind of narrow.
11 What year was that sent?	11 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
12 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	12 Q And, Mr. Deuters, that's your e-mail
13 Q August 7th, 2014.	13 address on the "from" line, right?
14 Can you think of anyone else that that	14 A Yes.
15 could be referring to?	15 Q Can you read the body of that text
16 A No –	16 starting with sociopathic show pony?
17 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection.	17 A Sociopathic show pony. Machiavellian
18 THE WITNESS: Just try to put my head back	18 overlord. Talentless cunt. Good riddance to bad
19 in that 2014 July or August bit, but I don't I	19 shit. Yes, I do mean Amber, not the mom, even
20 can't recall that, where we were at that point.	20 though she was a devil herself.
21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	21 Q So this is one instance in which you
22 Q And you can't think of anyone else that he	22 referred to Ms. Heard with that particular term,

Conducted on February 24, 2022		
205	207	
1 correct?	1 A That's my wife; that's Gina.	
2 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague.	2 Q That's your wife, okay?	
3 THE WITNESS: Correct.	3 MR. ROTTENBORN: And if you can go back to	
4 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	4 the text itself, please.	
5 Q And this was on May 26, 2016, right?	5 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	
6 A The day that, yeah, she – yeah, yeah,	6 Q Can you read well, let's look at the	
7 that was the week that she did everything that she	7 date first. What's the date of that text? It's	
8 did right when Johnny's mother died.	8 July 1st, 2015, correct?	
9 Q And was that your justification for	9 A What is that? May 8th - no, I don't see	
10 calling her what you called her in that text?	10 it.	
11 A I find it strange that a word is more	11 Q July.	
12 offensive than her actions, but I suppose, yeah.	12 A Yep, okay.	
13 Q But, in fact, you called her that well	13 Q And just read the content of that text,	
14 before she broke up with Johnny, correct?	14 please?	
15 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection.	15 A Adore. Madness here again today.	
16 THE WITNESS: I don't recall.	16 "Ambercunt" is a terrible human being.	
17 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you pull up Deuters	17 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you pull up Depp	
18 2894, please.	18 11414, please.	
19 MS. VASQUEZ: When there is a good	19 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Exhibit 24.	
20 stopping point, can we take another five- to	20 (Exhibit No. 24 was marked for	
21 10-minute break?	21 identification.)	
22 MR. ROTTENBORN: Sure.	22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	
206	208	
1 MS. VASQUEZ: Thanks.	1 Q This is a text from you to Paul Bettany on	
THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Exhibit 23.	2 May 26, 2016, correct?	
3 (Exhibit No. 23 was marked for	3 A Which one?	
4 identification.)	4 Q The one that says "that moment."	
5 MR. ROTTENBORN: Right the middle of	5 A Yeah.	
6 the page, it's the one that go up a little bit	6 Q Do you see that? And you're referring to	
7 more. It's the one that starts with adore.	7 Amber when you use the phrase, "But at least the	
8 Madness here again today.	8 bitch is gone. Parentheses, yes, I do mean Amber,	
9 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	9 not the mom," correct?	
10 Q Mr. Deuters, do you see that text right	10 A Yeah, clearly.	
11 where the cursor is?	11 Q So you used degrading terms to refer to	
12 A Sorry. It's small. I can't see it.	12 Amber on multiple occasions, correct?	
13 Q The one that says, adore. Madness here	13 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; argumentative.	
14 again today.	14 THE WITNESS: Well, on the instances that	
15 A Yeah, I see it.	15 have been shown, yes.	
16 Q And that text is from you, right?	16 MR. ROTTENBORN: Let's do one more, then	
17 A Yeah.	17 we can take a break.	
18 Q And who is	18 Can you pull up Depp 11253.	
19 MR. ROTTENBORN: If you can scroll all the	19 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Exhibit 25.	
20 way over to the left-hand column, please.	20 (Exhibit No. 25 was marked for	
21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	21 identification.)	
22 Q Who is GG?	22 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thanks. Just go to the	

Conducted on I	February 24, 2022
209	211
1 text at the bottom, please.	1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: On record 5:39.
2 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	2 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
3 Q This is a text from Mr. Depp to you on or	3 Q Mr. Deuters, are you familiar with a
4 around October 11th, 2013, right?	4 romantic interest of Mr. Depp's named Molly?
5 A Yeah, I see the information.	5 A Molly?
6 Q In that text message, Mr. Depp asks you,	6 Q Yes.
7 will you squat in front of the door of the master	7 A Doesn't no, no memory springs to mind.
8 bedroom and leave a giant coil of dookie so that	8 Q No one by that name?
9 Amber steps in it and thinks that one of the dogs,	9 A I can't I can't recall anyone.
10 primarily Boo, has a major problem? It will be	10 Q Okay. Well, let's take a look at some
11 funny.	11 documents and see if it refreshes your
12 Do you see that?	12 recollection.
13 A I see it, yeah.	13 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you pull up Depp
14 Q Now, I don't want to presume anything.	14 8129, please.
I assume that you did not actually do	15 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Please
16 that, correct?	16 standby.
17 A You assume correct.	17 Exhibit 26.
18 Q But, nonetheless, Mr. Depp asked you to?	18 (Exhibit No. 26 was marked for
19 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the	19 identification.)
20 document.	20 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you. Move to admit
21 THE WITNESS: He didn't ask me to. That's	21 this document.
22 just his humor on occasion.	22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
210	212
1 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	1 Q These are text messages between you and
2 Q Is there anywhere in the text where he	2 Mr. Depp, correct?
3 says, no, I'm just joking; don't do that?	3 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts.
4 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; argumentative.	4 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
5 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	5 Q We can blow it up if you need to.
6 Q Sorry. If you answered, I didn't hear	6 A Yeah, I don't -
7 you.	7 Q That's fine.
8 A Sorry. I missed the question.	8 A Can't understand what's being said.
9 Q Was there anywhere in the text where he	9 MS. VASQUEZ: Mr. Deuters, the question is
10 said, no, I'm actually joking; don't do that?	10 these are text messages between you and Mr. Depp,
11 A No, not in the text in front of me. But	11 so you haven't been directed to look at anything
12 knowing him and I know his humor.	12 yet.
13 MR. ROTTENBORN: Now is probably a good	13 THE WITNESS: All right. I don't well,
14 time for a break.	14 I see the details there, but I don't recall.
15 MS. VASQUEZ: Yeah, let's take a 10-minute	15 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
16 break.	16 Q And I'm sorry you have to stay close
MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. And I have	17 to your computer.
18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You want to go off	18 A Yeah.
19 first?	19 Q Do you do you acknowledge that these
MR. ROTTENBORN: Yeah, let's go off.	20 are text messages between you and Mr. Depp?
21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off record 5:24.	21 A I see the details now, yeah.
22 (A recess was taken.)	22 Q Okay. And Mr. Depp tells you on

215 1 February 22nd, 2017 -- he sends you a text 1 speculation. 2 about -- at the very top with some -- hard to make THE WITNESS: So I can't see the text. 3 sense of all of it, but he says, I want Molly's 3 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 4 tiny, drunken, and belligerent, yet somehow Q It's the --5 sublime -- one can only hope to trust the 5 A There it is. 6 imagination and/or pray to any fucking thing that O Yeah, there it is. 7 is impossibly, spectacularly, stupidly firm, and A I don't know. Say Syd Barrett, I don't 8 bafflingly as pert as a fresh clementine. Play 8 know who he's referring - I don't know. I 9 night for daddy before he walks into the den of 9 don't - no, I'm - I'm plumbing the depths of my 10 snakes. 10 brain, but I don't recall these messages and I Do you see that? 11 11 don't recall as to what he's referring to, I'm A Yes. 12 12 afraid. 13 Q What did you understand or whom did you 13 Q Okay. Let's plumb a little further then. 14 understand Mr. Depp to be referring to? You respond to him and you said, indeed, 15 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 15 sir. But all considered in the here and now, the 16 speculation. 16 right moves lie westward. And do not be sad, for 17 there is much land to be plowed there. Much 17 THE WITNESS: Absolutely no idea reading 18 indeed. Go and take all that is yours. 18 that. 19 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 19 Right? 20 Q Okay. Well, you -- in any event, 20 A Right. 21 indeedy [sic]. I'll put it on hold. And Molly. Q Are you -- you're telling Mr. Depp that he 22 ought to -- you're referring to Mr. Depp being 22 Certainly elementines are involved. What a lovely 1 description. She should be honored by such a 1 with this woman Molly in some sort of sexual 2 review. 2 manner, correct? Do you see that? 3 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection, objection; A Yeah. misstates the document, calls for speculation, Q And what did you mean by your response assumes facts, lack of foundation. 6 when you said, I'll put it on hold? What did that THE WITNESS: I'm trying desperately to mean? 7 remember who Molly is. I don't know who Molly is A Limits of my imagination. I can't say, 8 or what he's referring to. Sometimes he goes on 9 very colorful little journeys by himself with 9 yeah. Q And does this refresh your recollection of 10 texts, and this may be one of those such 11 instances, like the dookie one you showed me 11 who Molly is? 12 earlier. 12 A There's what? Sorry. Oh, my response? 13 Q Yes. 13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 14 A No, not at the minute. 14 Q Right, right, like that one, except this Q Okay. Well, let's keep taking a look. 15 one is about a woman where he said -- you say, go In the next text Mr. Depp says in the 16 and take all that is yours. 17 bottom, I am in some species of need. I mean, I'm You're not suggesting that he actually 18 only nearly human after all, multiple question 18 owned whoever Molly is, correct? 19 marks. 19 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; argumentative. THE WITNESS: Sorry. I didn't capture 20 What did you understand him to be saying 20

21 that --

22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

21 there?

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for

Conducted on February 24, 2022 219 Q You're not suggesting that Molly belonged 1 document, assumes facts. 2 to him, correct? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think so. A No. 3 That's a bit of a leap. MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague. 4 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: THE WITNESS: Not knowing who Molly is, O Is -- is this text exchanges again 6 no, I don't. I don't think so. I don't know, no. 6 indicative of the ways in which Mr. Depp would 7 I'd say no. talk about women to you, correct? 8 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, ambiguous. Q You are suggesting that he -- that he take THE WITNESS: No, no. Reading that, it 10 advantage of a woman, correct? 10 reads like a psychedelic novel. In all MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; argumentative --11 11 likelihood, there was no truth behind it, but I 12 THE WITNESS: No. 12 don't recall. It's a very colorful exchange. 13 MS. VASQUEZ: -- misstates the document, 13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 14 assumes facts. 14 Q Do you find it -- do you find it 15 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't suggest that. 15 disrespectful to use a phrase like "Molly's pussy 16 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 16 is rightfully mine"? Does that strike you as Q In the -- the text below is a response by 17 disrespectful to women? 18 Mr. Depp to you, correct? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; argumentative, 18 A Yes. 19 relevance. 20 Q Why don't you go ahead and read that, 20 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 21 please. 21 O You can answer. A You want me to read it? A Yeah, I can see how it can be seen in that 218 Q Yeah, the one starting with "right, 1 way. But knowing him and knowing who he is, I 2 exactly"? 2 don't see it like that in this instance. A Right, exactly. Molly's Pussy is O You don't view that as being disrespectful 4 rightfully mine. Should I not just bust in and to women? 5 remove its hinges tonight? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; asked and Q And then read the next text from Mr. Depp answered. 7 to you as well, please. THE WITNESS: Not with respect to who said A I want to change her understanding of what 8 it. 9 it is like to be thrashed about like a pleading 9 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 10 mackerel. I need. I want. I take. Q And you have no knowledge, sitting here 11 today, about who Molly is? O Then if you go to the next page, you 12 write, crikey, man. I wonder what her A Well, I mean, no. Truly, I can't - no 13 understanding of being thrashed about like a 13 one appears. No one appears. 14 mackerel was like otherwise? The world is for you MR. ROTTENBORN: You can take that down, 15 to take again. And take it, you will. Happy 15 please. Can you pull up Deuters 442. 16 travels. Sleep. See you in a few days. (Exhibit No. 27 was marked for 17 Do you see that? 17 identification.) A Yes. 18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 18 Q So you're encouraging Mr. Depp in that Q And while she's doing that, Mr. Deuters, 20 text to do what he had just said he was going to 20 you would -- would you agree that the -- when 21 do to this person named Molly, right? 21 Ms. Heard got the temporary restraining order and

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the

22

22 when -- in May of 2016 and the press surrounding

223

221

that and the divorce proceedings, that those had asignificant, negative effect on Mr. Depp's career?

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts, calls for a legal conclusion, speculation.

THE WITNESS: I mean, those instances

6 having a negative effect on his career thereafter?

7 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

8 Q Yes.

9 A I mean, yeah, from the cheap seats, yeah.

10 Q Right. From the time that she went public 11 with her allegations against him in May of 2016, 12 right?

13 MS. VASQUEZ: Same objections; calls for 14 speculation.

15 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, it's -- the 16 effect is there for all to see and in my opinion 17 (indiscernible).

18 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

19 Q And at some point Mr. Depp tried to engage 20 with a Rolling Stone to write an article about him 21 to help rehabilitate his image, correct?

22 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts,

222

1 lack of foundation, hearsay, speculation.

2 THE WITNESS: I --

3 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

4 Q You can answer.

5 A I remember a Rolling Stone article. I 6 wasn't party much to it, but I remember a Rolling

7 Stone article.

Was it 2017?

9 Q Yeah. Let's take a look at this, this 10 e-mail up at the top, please. So this is an 11 e-mail from Johnny to the owner of Rolling Stone 12 magazine, right, Jann Wenner?

13 A Yeah.

14 Q And in there — and you're cc'ed on that, 15 right — or bcc, right?

16 A Yeah.

17 Q And in there, Mr. Depp provides your
18 number and Nathan Holmes' number as someone to
19 whom a Rolling Stone journalist could contact or
20 reach out to about an article they were writing on
21 Johnny, right?

22 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for

1 speculation, hearsay.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, yeah. So are you

3 saying do I see my name in the text of the e-mail?

4 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

5 Q Yeah. Well, what I'm trying to figure out

6 is did you ever have -- were you ever contacted by

7 a journalist from Rolling Stone about this

8 article?

9 A I don't recall being contacted about it.
10 I remember — I do recall the article occurring.
11 I don't recall being party to arranging it, to the
12 best of my knowledge.

13 Q Were you -- had you read the article?

14 A I probably read it back then when it was 15 published.

16 Q Do you recall, as you sit here today, 17 being present for any of the events described in 18 the article?

19 A I don't think — no, I don't think I ever
20 met the journalist. I don't — I don't recall
21 ever meeting the journalist or being around when
22 it was — when it was happening, no.

224

1 Q Okay. Would you agree that this article 2 also had a negative effect on Mr. Depp's

3 reputation?

MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for speculation, calls for a conclusion, improper opinion.

THE WITNESS: I mean, yeah, just my
opinion is -- I don't remember liking the outcome
very much (indiscernible). Wouldn't know -lo wouldn't know what to say.
Il BY MR. ROTTENBORN:

12 O Who is Fahar?

13 MR. ROTTENBORN: You can take this down, 14 please. Thanks.

15 THE WITNESS: Fahar, that's a good
16 question. I don't know if anyone knew who Fahar
17 was. I can't remember his surname. I don't
18 really know who he was. He was a character that
19 sort of turned up for a brief period. How to
20 describe him. Felt like someone who was maybe
21 trying to take advantage of Johnny in a difficult
22 situation. He wasn't particularly well-liked by

Conducted on F	February 24, 2022
225	227
1 the crew or trusted. I don't think he was around	Q So, Mr. Deuters, I'll direct
2 that long.	2 MR. ROTTENBORN: Just a little bit up.
3 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	There we go.
4 Q Was this after Johnny split with Amber?	4 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
5 A I want to say yeah. I'm pretty sure. I	5 Q I'll direct your attention to the one that
6 think it was quite soon – he may have been	6 starts with, TB doesn't believe in the theme park.
7 involved or lurking about before. But, you know,	7 MR. ROTTENBORN: Maybe just blow that up a
8 you can imagine given his position have a lot of	8 little bit.
9 people wanting to get advantage or get involved or	
10 jump on a gravy train or whatever because I think	10 Q And this is a text message from someone
11 I heard his name mentioned before, but I think he	11 named B-e-u-g-l-e to you on or about October 2016.
12 stepped into the world proper after - after the	Do you see that?
13 Amber situation, yeah.	13 A I – yeah.
14 Q And why wasn't he trusted by you?	14 Q Who is Beugle?
15 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the	15 A She's a very old personal friend of mine
16 prior testimony.	16 and my wife.
17 THE WITNESS: We didn't know who he was,	17 Q Okay. Does she is she friends with
18 and he just became very friendly very quickly. I	18 Johnny?
19 don't trust people that behave like that in	19 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for
20 general.	20 speculation.
21 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	21 THE WITNESS: I don't know if they are
22 Q Very friendly with Johnny?	22 friends. They may have had interaction. I don't
1 A Yeah. He made his – he made an attempt 2 to be, certainly. I think he was mistrustful of 3 him as well, but he was – yeah, he was quite sad 4 during that period, you know, vulnerable. 5 Q Johnny was? 6 A Certainly. 7 Q Does Johnny still have, to your knowledge, 8 business dealings with Fahar? 9 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts, 10 vague, calls for speculation. 11 THE WITNESS: Not to the best of my 12 knowledge, no. 13 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you pull up Deuters 14 2858, please. 15 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Standby. 16 Exhibit 28. 17 (Exhibit No. 28 was marked for 18 identification.)	1 think they knew each other. Vaguely. 2 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 3 Q Okay. When she says, TB doesn't believe 4 in the theme park, who do you understand TB to be 5 referring to? 6 A That would be that would be Tim Burton 7 because Beugle worked for worked with Tim for a 8 number of years. That's who that would be. 9 Q What is your understanding of what she's 10 referring to when she refers to a theme park? 11 A Just that, there was an idea, a business 12 proposition that Johnny has had for many years 13 with regards to creating a sort of park of sort of 14 Tim-esque rides for kids and families. 15 Q And was the idea for that park being 16 floated to Johnny by Fahar? 17 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 18 speculation, assumes facts.
19 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you go it's about	19 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know. I'd
20 six or seven down. It's the long one, the six or	20 certainly say it wasn't Fahar's idea, but perhaps.
21 seven down, please.	21 Again, speculating. Fahar is the kind of person
22 BY MR. ROTTENBORN:	22 to suggest that he could facilitate such things.

231 1 That would be my guess. 1 one, can we pull up Depp 8131 through 8150. 2 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 2 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Please Q When she writes to you in the text, being standby. 4 beholden to the Saudis is a dangerous game makes MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you. Amber look like Bambi. Fahar facilitates dealing 5 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Counsel, that 6 with the devil. TB is concerned. was 8131? What did you understand that to mean? MR. ROTTENBORN: Yeah, 8131 -- well, we MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; compound, calls can just pull up Depp 8142, that's fine. 9 for speculation. 9 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Exhibit 30. 10 THE WITNESS: I guess is insinuating (Exhibit No. 30 was marked for 10 11 Fahar's business dealings. But I couldn't comment 11 identification.) 12 on that. I'm not --MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you. MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. Can you pull up 13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 14 Deuters 2917, please. Q Let's take a look at the top text first. 15 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Exhibit 29. 15 This is a text from Johnny to you around 16 (Exhibit No. 29 was marked for 16 February 3rd, 2019; do you see that? 17 identification.) A Yeah. 18 MR. ROTTENBORN: Actually, we can take Q In it he says, I've been left without the 18 19 that down. 19 pot, pot why, all caps. Please help. 20 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 20 Do you see that? Q Mr. Deuters, at some point in time you --21 A Yeah. 22 you made a significant request to Mr. Depp for him 22 Q Would that be a fairly typical request by 232 1 to give you money for a house, right? 1 Johnny to you to obtain pot for him when he didn't MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; assumes facts, have it? lack of foundation. MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; vague, calls for THE WITNESS: No. no. speculation. BY MR. ROTTENBORN: THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say typical. Q You don't recall that? 6 He's probably not talking about garden plants, but it was only ever marijuana that, you know, I ever A Someone on my behalf said, oh, you 8 should - once said, oh, you should lend Stephen really got for him, so it's perfectly conceivable, 9 to help buy a flat, and I sort of said, no, I'm 9 but not typical, no. MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. Can you go to the 10 uncomfortable with that, and I didn't borrow any 10 11 money. 11 third text down, please, the one that starts with, Q Okay. Did you ever -- but you did ask 12 hey, sweetie. 12 13 Mr. Depp if you could borrow money, right? 13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; misstates the 14 Q And this is a text from you to Johnny, 15 prior testimony, asked and answered. 15 right, on February 8, 2019? THE WITNESS: No, I personally didn't, no, 16 16 A I think you'll find out it's a 17 cut-and-paste job. They are not my words. 18 MR. ROTTENBORN: Can you pull up Depp Q But they are words that you sent to 19 8142, please. 19 Johnny, right? 20 THE TECHNICIAN SPECIALIST: Please 20 A I wouldn't call him sweetie. I know what 21 standby. 21 that is. They are not my words.

22

Q What is it?

22

MR. ROTTENBORN: Actually, instead of that

Conducted on February 24, 2022 A That's from an old friend of his asking 1 like that. No, I can't really recall. Maybe a 2 nice pen, but nothing I can recall over that sort 2 for help. Q Is it from -- if you go to the text of value. 4 message above, it says from Lori. Is that --Q What about any bonuses in addition to your A Where does it say that? Oh, yeah, there 5 salary? 6 you go, that's right, yeah. A No. We got bonuses from the company for a O And who is Lori? 7 number of years, but I don't think it ever A That was Lori Depp, his first wife. 8 occurred for a while, maybe five, six years so -Q Okay. So she's asking him for money to 9 and no bonuses, no, otherwise from him, no. I 10 buy a house? 10 mean - no, not that I can recall. I have not MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 11 received any cash bonuses or gifts above \$5,000 I 12 speculation, hearsay. 12 can recall. 13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: Q And you haven't received any bonuses from 14 Depp's companies in five or six years? 14 O She contacted you and asked you to pass 15 along a request to Mr. Depp to by a house -- to 15 A Yes, that's correct. 16 loan her money to buy a house or to give her money O Is that because for the last five or six 17 years Mr. Depp hasn't been -- the revenue that he 17 to buy a house, right? 18 or his companies bring in hasn't been sufficient 18 MS. VASQUEZ: Hearsay, speculation, 19 to justify bonuses, to your knowledge? 19 assumes facts. THE WITNESS: I mean, yeah. I mean, MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 21 whatever is in the body of the text; you know, 21 speculation, assumes facts. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I couldn't say, Ben. 22 whatever I passed on is --234 1 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 1 It's not in my realm to dole out -- dole out those Q Do you - I'm sorry for interrupting you. Do you recall one way or the other whether MR. ROTTENBORN: With that, Mr. Deuters, I 4 don't think I have any other questions at this 4 Johnny did give her money or loan her money for a 5 time. If Ms. Vasquez has questions for you, I may house? MS. VASQUEZ: Objection; calls for 6 have some. But otherwise, I don't. 6 MS. VASQUEZ: I have no questions. 7 speculation. 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Is there anything else THE WITNESS: I don't. It wouldn't have gone through me if he did. It would have gone 9 before we close? MS. VASQUEZ: No, not from me. 10 through his business managers, so I can't comment 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Mr. Rottenborn? 11 on that. I don't know. 11 MR. ROTTENBORN: No. 12 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 6:10, and this O Other than your -- other than your salary 13 14 that we talked about at the beginning of the 14 concludes today's deposition of Stephen Deuters. We're off the record. 15 deposition, have you ever received any gifts from 15 (The deposition adjourned at 6:10 p.m.) 16 Johnny that have a value of over \$5,000? 16 A He sent me and my wife to Bath in 2007 for 17 18 18 a honeymoon for three or four days to a nice 19 hotel. Maybe that was more than \$5,000. A long 19

20

21 22

20 time ago now, 2007 that was.

I don't, I don't - I'm trying to recall.

22 No. I mean, no houses or cars or crazy things

237		
1 CERTIFICATE OF SHORT HAND REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC		
I, Scott D. Gregg, RPR, a Notary Public,		
3 the officer before whom the foregoing deposition		
4 was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing		
5 transcript is a true and correct record of the		
6 testimony given; that said testimony was taken by		
7 me stenographically and thereafter reduced to		
8 typewriting under my supervision; that reading and		
9 signing was not requested; and that I am neither		
10 counsel for or related to, nor employed by any of		
11 the parties to this case and have no interest,		
12 financial or otherwise, in its outcome.		
13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my		
14 hand and affixed my notarial seal this day of		
15 2022.		
16 My commission expires July 31, 2024.		
17 Scott D. Guegg/ apt		
18 Swar D. Omaggi ar		
19 NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE		
20 COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA		
21 Notary Registration No. 215323	5.	
22		
		Design Section
1	1	